EC COMPLIANCE REPORT-11

(October 2021 – March 2022)

NEW DRY DOCK PROJECT AT COCHIN SHIPYARD LIMITED, KOCHI



A Govt. of India Enterprise (A Mini Ratna Company Under The Ministry of Ports, Shipping and Waterways) Perumanoor PO, Kochi , Kerala, India-682015

Enclosure-1

MONITORING REPORT – PROFORMA – PART I

1	Name of the project	New Dry Dock Facility by Cochin Shipyard Ltd.
2	Clearance letter No. & date	Environmental Clearance (EC) letter No.10-9/2015-IA-III dated 09 Nov 2016.
3	Location : District & State / UT	Ernakulam, Kerala Latitude : 09° 57' 37.0488" N Longitude : 76° 17' 05.4458" E
4	Address for correspondence:	Shri. Harikrishnan s Occupier-Environment (Protection) Act 1986 Cochin Shipyard Limited, Perumanoor P O ,Kochi-682015 Ph: +91 484 2501360 Fax: +91 484 2370897 Email: <u>harikrishnan.s@cochinshipyard.in</u>
5	Contact No. of Office with name of responsible official	Shri. Eldho John General Manager (Infra Projects) Infra Projects Department, Cochin Shipyard Limited, Perumanoor P O ,Kochi-682015 Ph: +91 484 2501913 Fax: +91 484 2370897 Email: <u>eldho.john@cochinshipyard.in</u>
6	Mobile No. of concerned officials associated with monitoring	Shri. Mohammed Gazel P A Senior Manager (Infra Projects) Infra Projects Department Cochin Shipyard Limited, Mob: +91 9895705124 Email: <u>m.gazel@cochinshipyard.in</u>
	a) Project cost as originally planned and subsequent revised estimates and the years of price reference	Cost Estimate (DPR stage)- 1799 Crores, year 2016
7	b) Allocations made for environmental management plans, with item wise and year wise breakup	Contaminated Water Treatment Plant- 15.406 Crores Green Belt Development- 13.93 lakhs
NI and	a) Actual expenditure incurred on the project so far	Rs. 793.28 Crores as on 31 Mar 2022
8	b) Actual expenditure incurred on the environmental management plans so far.	Actions are being taken to incur the expenditure earmarked for EMP, which will happen along with the construction works progressing at the site. As on 31 Mar 2022, Rs. 6,87,833/- has been paid to Social Forestry Division of Kerala Forest Department for green belt development.



	No. ma	As on 31 March 2022, Rs. 20,69,602.20 has been incurred for Environmental Monitoring activities
9	Date of commencement (actual and/or planned)	Planned & Actual: June 2018
10	Date of completion (actual and/or planned)	Planned: July 2023
11	Validity of CFO	Consent No.PCB/HO/EKM-1/ICE-R/14/2019 issued on 6/11/2019. Valid up to 17/05/2024
12	Reasons for the delay if the project is yet to start	-
13	Present status of the project:	Construction contract awarded to M/s Larsen & Toubro Ltd, Construction, Heavy Civil Infrastructure, Chennai on 27 April 2018. Construction works commenced on 01 June 2018. Ground improvement works completed and 85 % of RCC piling completed. Physical progress of the total works is 60 %. Purchase order for 600T Gantry issued to M/s Hyundai Samho Heavy Industries Co., Ltd., South Korea on 14 March 2019. Fabrication of crane components commenced on 01 Aug 2021 and is progressing in South Korea.
14	E-mail ID of the contact person to whom communications to be sent	 <u>harikrishnan.s@cochinshipyard.in</u> with copy to: gmmat@cochinshipyard.in <liedho.john@cochinshipyard.in< li=""> m.gazel@cochinshipyard.in rajeev.karunakaran@cochinshipyard.in </liedho.john@cochinshipyard.in<>
15	FAX Number	+91 484 2370897



General Manager (Infra Projects)

Cochin Shipyard Limited

एल्दो जॉग्प ELDHO JOHN महा प्रबंधक General Manager कोचीन शिपयार्ड लिमिटेड Cochin Shipyard Ltd. कोच्ची / Kochi - 682 015

Enclosure-2 NEW DRY DOCK PROJECT AT COCHIN SHIPYARD LTD. EC COMPLIANCE STATUS - OCT 2021 to MAR 2022 SL No. **Conditions** Compliance Status as on 31 Mar 2022 A. SPECIFIC CONDITIONS Consent for Establishment shall be obtained from Complied. State Pollution Control Board under the Air KSPCB had issued Consent to Establish for dry i (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 dock project. and the Water (Prevention and Control of (ConsentNo.PCB/HO/EKM-1/ICE/24/2016 and Pollution) Act. 1974. Consent No. PCB/HO/EKM-1/ICE-R/14/2019) Construction activity shall be carried out strictly according to the provisions of CRZ Notification, CSL ensures that no construction work other than 2011. No construction work other than those ii those mentioned in approved layout will be permitted in Coastal Regulation Zone carried out. Notification shall be carried out in Coastal Regulation Zone area. The environmental clearance is subject to Complied. obtaining prior clearance for Wildlife from the iii Standing Committee of NBWL has cleared the Standing Committee of the National Board for project in its meeting held on 02 Mar 2017. Wildlife. Complied. All the recommendations and conditions Kerala Coastal Zone Management Authority specified by Kerala Coastal Zone Management (KCZMA) has recommended the project without iv Authority vide letter no.4232/A2/ KCZMA/ any specific conditions. All requirements as per S&TD dated 18th August, 2016 shall be CRZ Notification are being complied during complied with. construction phase and will be complied during Operation phase too. The project proponent shall ensure that there shall be no damage to the existing mangroves Complied. V patches near site and also ensure the free flow of There are no mangroves near site. water to avoid damage to the mangroves. Complied. Mathematical modeling study was conducted by The project proponents shall ensure that no CWPRS, Pune. CWPRS report states that the creeks or rivers are blocked due to any activities development of proposed new dry dock at CSL vi at the project site and free flow of water is on north side of existing quay wall will not maintained. hamper functioning of various waterfront facilities in the Ernakulam channel and hence may be constructed.

		Noted and incorporated in the Environmenta Management Plan for its compliance.
vii	Shorelines should not be disturbed due to dumping. Periodical study on shore line changes shall be conducted and mitigation carried out, if necessary. The details shall be submitted along with the six monthly monitoring reports.	Dry dock project does not have any reclamation In addition, shore is already protected with retaining walls. During the course of construction, retaining walls will not be disturbed. Hence shoreline change is no envisaged. Dredged material will be disposed off at the two offshore dumping sites maintained by Cochin Port Trust, which are North (10° 00"N, 76" 05"E) and South (9° 55"N, 76° 06"E) Dumping Grounds. The dumping sites are located at a distance of about 21 km away from the project site.
viii	Since Ernakulam Channel ultimately meets the sea and the discharge is planned to conform to marine quality standards, the project proponent shall get a marine biodiversity management plan prepared from the NIOS or any other marine biology specialist institution and implement the same. The plan should safeguard the biodiversity of the channel as also the biodiversity impacts as a result of confluence with the sea.	CSIR-NIO had prepared "Environmental and Biodiversity Management Plan for Conservation of Marine Ecology due to the proposed Dr Dock Facility at Cochin Shipyard Ltd" and submitted on 21 March 2017. Th recommendations of Marine Biodiversit management plan prepared by CSIR-NIO ar strictly being followed during the construction phase.
ix	The ground water shall not be tapped within CRZ areas by the PP to meet with the water requirement in any case.	Water requirement for the construction activitie is being arranged from outside agencies i tankers. Ground water will not be extracted for any construction activity.
x	Well designed drainage system shall be provided to dewater the dock while excavation. As proposed, extracted water will be released in to the sea after necessary treatment. CGWB permission shall be obtained for dewatering the dock during construction.	Complied. A joint team of CGWB and Ground Wate Department, Kerala inspected the site on 0 April 2017 and submitted their report to Stat Ground Water Authority, Kerala. Secretary, Water Resource Department, Keral has issued 'No objection Certificate' for Construction of dry dock and dewatering vid letter No.GW1/296/2017-WRD dated 18 Jul 2017. Well designed drainage system will be provide to dewater the dock while excavation. Extracte water will be released in to the sea only after necessary treatment

2~



xi	Shrouding shall be carried out in the work site enclosing the dock area. This will act as dust curtain as well achieving zero dust discharge from the site. These curtain or shroud will be immensely effective in restricting disturbance from wind in affecting the dry dock operations, preventing waste dispersion, improving working conditions through provision of shade for the workers.	Galvalume sheets erected up to height of 10 M in north and east boundaries.
xii	Dust collectors shall be deployed in all areas where blasting (surface cleaning) and painting operations are to be carried out, supplemented by stacks for effective dispersion.	Will be implemented during operation of the dock as well as construction phase.
xiii	The work space shall be maintained as per international standards for occupational health and safety with provision of fresh air respirators, blowers, and fans to prevent any accumulation and inhalation of undesirable levels of pollutants including VOCs.	Noted and incorporated in the Environmenta Management Plan for its compliance.
xiv	The diesel generators (of capacity 250 KVA) shall be used as back-up power supply and shall be run only during power cuts. Low sulphur content fuel will be used for the generators and will be subjected to periodical maintenance and servicing. This will cut down on emission volume to a considerable extent. Also, the DG sets will be provided with mufflers for pollutant emission control.	Noted and incorporated in the Environmenta Management Plan for its compliance.
XV	Necessary arrangements for the treatments of the effluents and solid wastes must be made and it must be ensured that they conform to the standards laid down by the competent authorities including the Central or State Pollution Control Board and under the environment (protection) Act, 1986.	Will be ensured by the proposed Contaminated Water Treatment Plant.
xvi	All measures shall be taken during the excavation activity as deemed necessary from the geotechnical investigation of the soil and ground water profile.	Noted and will be complied.



xvii	Construction activity related wastes (C&D waste) shall be disposed off as per Solid waste management rule, 2016.	Noted and incorporated in the Environmental Management Plan for its compliance.
xviii	All such solid and hazardous wastes including onboard wastes (while ships dock at the site) will be handled as per the Hazardous and other wastes (Management and Trans boundary Movement) Rules, 2016	Will be ensured during operation phase.
xix	Silt curtains shall be used to contain the spreading of suspended sediment during dredging within the dredging area.	Noted and incorporated in the Environmental Management Plan for its compliance.
XX	The dredging schedule shall be so planned that the turbidity developed is dispersed soon enough to prevent any stress on the fish population.	Will be ensured during operation phase. During construction phase, Construction of Cofferdam will facilitate excavation rather than dredging in the area of dock protruded to channel. CSL will strictly follow the Bio Diversity management plan for the project prepared by CSIR-NIO.
xxi	Earth protection work shall be carried out to avoid erosion of soil from the shore line / boundary line from the land area into the marine water body.	Quay walls will be constructed in the whole area of project site.
xxii	No ships docking at the proposed project site will discharge its on-board waste water untreated into the estuary/channel. All such waste water load will be diverted to the proposed Contaminated Water Treatment Plant of the project site.	Will be ensured by the proposed Contaminated Water Treatment Plant during operation phase.



4

ann

A.

xxiii	All effluent generated in the dry dock shall be drained into the proposed on site contaminated water treatment plant (CWTP) having capacity 500 KLD and equipped to treat the effluent into dischargeable standards. The oil water separator of the CWTP shall remove any unwanted oil and grease content from the effluent. The CWTP shall be equipped to treat such effluent including the bilge water and other ship discharger to meet the general standards for discharge of effluent in marine coastal areas before disposal into the channel. Ballast water from ships shall be stored at the facility and will be used in refilling of same before release of ships back into water. Sewage shall be treated in the STP.	Will be ensured by the proposed Contaminated Water Treatment Plant and sewage treatment plant
xxiv	Through the proposed project will not use TBT containing paints yet the ships docking for repair may have existing TBT paint layer. So blasting operations (surface cleaning) shall be extremely controlled and contained within the work site ensuring all accumulated solid waste and effluent are given standard treatments. The effluent / dock flow shall be drained to the CWTP while the solid/hazardous wastes shall be contained temporarily in the site and timely disposed of through the CTSDF.	Will be ensured by the proposed Contaminated Water Treatment Plant and collection system
XXV	Workers shall be strictly enforced to wear personal protective equipments like dust mask, ear muffs or ear plugs, whenever and whenever necessary/required. Special visco-elastic gloves will be used by labour exposed to hazards from vibrations.	CSL will ensure strict compliance. PPE's like safety helmets, safety harness, safety shoes, goggles, dust mask, ear muffs or ear plugs as applicable are strictly enforced for workers during construction.
xxvi	In case of repair of any old vessels, excessive care shall be taken while handling asbestos and freon gas. Besides, fully enclosed covering should be provided for the temporary storage of asbestos material at site before disposal to CTSDF.	Will be ensured during operation phase. Storage facility shall be installed before commissioning of the dock.

~ 5 ~

dr.



xxvii	Safety training shall be given to all workers specific to their work area and every worker and employee will be engaged in fire hazard awareness training and mock drills which will be conducted regularly. All standard safety and occupational hazard measure shall be implemented and monitored by the concerned officials to prevent the occurrence of untoward incidents/accidents.	Noted and incorporated in the Environmental Management Plan for its compliance.
xxviii	The commitments made during the Public Hearing and recorded in the minutes shall be complied with letter and spirit. A hard copy of the action taken shall be submitted to the Ministry.	Action being taken to fulfill the responses/ Commitments made during public hearing
xxviii.a	Unscientific Dredging activities in Ernakulam Channel by Naval Base, Vallarpardam Terminal and Cochin Shipyard Ltd. Results, Vembanad Lake near Thevara area filled with the alluvial soil which leads to the encroachment and decline of fish diversity	Complied. Fishing is prohibited in the Ernakulam Channel area near project site and there is no technical possibility that alluvial soil accumulation at Vembanad Lake due to the dredging activities by CSL, as depth of the backwater in the shipyard area is much more than that at Thevara area. Also CSL has conducted mathematical modelling for the sediment deposition and other necessary study for dredging activity at Ernakulam Channel. As per the CWPRS study, the new dry dock project does not introduce any changes in siltation/ deposition rate/water current strength at water front facilities nearby
xxviii.b	Construction of Public toilets outside Cochin Shipyard considering the number of labours	In line with public hearing, 3 toilets for public have been constructed as part of CSR activities.
xxviii.c	Widening of Old Thevara road by CSL	Feasibility of widening of old Thevara road will be explored. However beautification programme will be implemented in the applicable area of Old Thevara Road. Beautification of MG road along CSL boundary has been completed.
xxviii.d	Provision of parking facilities for employees	A receiving area is earmarked inside the project area, so as to avoid traffic issues in the approaching public road. Parking facility for 100 two wheelers parking and 10 Four wheelers also provided.

D*SI

~ 6 ~

xxviii.e	To be ensured of Greenbelt Development & septage disposal for the proposed project.	Work order for development of green belt has been awarded to Social Forestry Department, Kerala Government. Green belt development plan has been prepared in inline with EIA/EMP report, EC letter and conditions of CTE. Social forestry has completed the block planting of 1100 saplings at 3 locations in Ernakulam district. Planting of saplings in project boundary can be started only after the completion of civil works in that area.
		hired by CSL. Disposal of waste in surrounding areas shall be strictly prohibited.
xxviii.f	Provision of LNG facilities to the nearby residents if LNG pipe line is provided to the Cochin Shipyard Ltd.	Complied CSL had clarified that they had not mentioned about the LNG pipeline in the Public Hearing presentation, it is regarding the building of LNG carrier. There will not be any provision of LNG pipeline in the proposed new dry dock project.
xxviii.g	Employment and more job opportunities to the fishermen community.	People from local area shall be employed as far as possible during construction phase.
xxviii.h	Primary need of employees like emergency preparedness plan in case of any accident, etc.	On-site emergency plan has been prepared by contractor for the safety of the working employees. Contractor has provided Ambulance facility and availability of duty nurse at project site. Also, Contractor has provided required number of toilets at project site.
xxviii.i	To conduct scientific study for diverting the docking water to the canals/sewers in the city to reduce the mosquito in the Corporation Area.	Dock water shall be treated in CWTP and discharge into nearby surface water after proper treatment with approved standards. It is not technically feasible to divert treated water line to the canals/sewers in the city for reduction of mosquitoes.
xxix	The project proponent shall take up and earmark adequate fund for socio-economic development and welfare measures as proposed under the CSR Programme. This shall be taken upon priority.	In line with public hearing, 3 toilets for public have been constructed as part of CSR activities.

* 51



The project proponent shall set up separate environmental management cell for effective implementation of the stipulated environmental safeguards under the supervision of a Senior	A separate Environmental Management Cell (EMC) is constituted for dealing with Environmental issues and for ensuring compliance with the environmental clearance conditions for Dry dock project.
The funds earmarked for environment management plan shall be included in the budget and this shall not be diverted for any other purposes.	Fund for EMP is included total project cost. CSL confirms that the budget as per EIA report will not be diverted for any other purposes.
The proponent shall abide by all the commitments and recommendations made in the EIA/EMP report so also during their presentation to the EAC.	Contractor's EHS Plan and Environment Management Plan are prepared in line with EIA/EMP report, EC letter and conditions of CTE. CSL will ensure strict compliance.
Company shall prepare operating manual in respect of all activities. It shall cover all safety and environment related issues and system. Measure to be taken for protection. One set of environmental manual shall be made available at the project site. Awareness shall be created at each level of the management. All the schedules and results of environmental monitoring shall be available at the project site office.	Dry dock project is extension of existing facilities. All the activities are similar to the activities in existing docks. So the SOPs for existing facilities will be extended for the new dry dock.
Corporate Social Responsibility :	
The company shall have a well laid down Environment Policy approved by the Board of Directors.	Complied. CSL has certified for ISO 9001:2008, ISO14001:2004 and ISO 45001:2018.
The Environment Policy shall prescribe for standard operating process / procedures to bring into focus any infringements/ deviation/ violation of the environmental or forest norms/ conditions.	Complied. CSL is an ISO 14001:2004 certified Company CSL procedure for Environmental Damag Incident reporting was submitted to MoEFCC vide CSL letter dated 09 Aug 2017.
	 environmental management cell for effective implementation of the stipulated environmental safeguards under the supervision of a Senior Executive. The funds earmarked for environment management plan shall be included in the budget and this shall not be diverted for any other purposes. The proponent shall abide by all the commitments and recommendations made in the EIA/EMP report so also during their presentation to the EAC. Company shall prepare operating manual in respect of all activities. It shall cover all safety and environment related issues and system. Measure to be taken for protection. One set of environmental manual shall be made available at the project site. Awareness shall be created at each level of the management. All the schedules and results of environmental monitoring shall be available at the project site office. Corporate Social Responsibility : The company shall have a well laid down Environment Policy approved by the Board of Directors. The Environment Policy shall prescribe for standard operating process / procedures to bring into focus any infringements/ deviation/ violation



xxxiv.c	The hierarchical system of Administrative order of the company to deal with environmental issues and for ensuring compliance with the environmental clearance conditions shall be furnished.	The organizational arrangement in CSL for the environmental management is included as para 1.9 of CSL EMS Common procedures. Copy of CSL EMS Common procedures was submitted to MoEFCC vide CSL letter dated 09 Aug 2017. A separate Environmental Management Cell (EMC) is constituted for dealing with Environmental issues and for ensuring compliance with the environmental clearance conditions.
xxxiv.d	To have proper checks and balances, the company shall have a well laid down system of reporting of non-compliances/violations of environmental norms to the Board of Directors of the company and /or shareholders or stakeholders at large.	Management Representative reports the performance of the environmental management system to the management for review during the management review meeting. CMD/Director (operations) reviews the performance of the environmental management system once every three months to ensure continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness of the system. Copy of Environmental Management System Apex manual was submitted to MoEFCC vide CSL letter dated 09 Aug 2017. The communication to and from external interested parties is maintained by the Occupier
		Environment (protection) Act 1986.
B. GENI	ERAL CONDITIONS	Environment (protection) Act 1986.
B. GENI	ERAL CONDITIONS Appropriate measures must be taken while undertaking digging activities to avoid any likely degradation of water quality	
	Appropriate measures must be taken while undertaking digging activities to avoid any likely	

stry of Environment, Forest and Climate age or any other competent authority may late any additional conditions or modify the ing ones, if necessary in the interest of comment and the same shall be compiled Ministry reserves the right to revoke this ance if any of the conditions stipulated are complied with the satisfaction of the stry. The event of a change in project profile or ge in the implementation agency, a fresh ence shall be made to the Ministry of ronment, Forest and Climate Change.	CSL confirms full support to the offices of MoEFCC Noted Noted 1. CCEA had approved the project in its meeting
ance if any of the conditions stipulated are complied with the satisfaction of the stry. ne event of a change in project profile or ge in the implementation agency, a fresh ence shall be made to the Ministry of ronment, Forest and Climate Change.	Noted 1. CCEA had approved the project in its meeting
ge in the implementation agency, a fresh ence shall be made to the Ministry of ronment, Forest and Climate Change. project proponents shall inform the Regional	1. CCEA had approved the project in its meetin
acial closure and final approval of the project the concerned authorities and the date of start and development work.	held on 20th July 2016. 2. Construction work commenced on 01 Jun 2018 and the same has been informed to MoEFCC and Regional office vide letter no INFRA/ NDD/812/15 dated 22 June 2018.
erned Panchayat/ local NGO, if any, from n any suggestion/ representation has been	Complied.
also be displayed of the website of the erned State Pollution Control Board. The EC shall also be displayed at the Regional ce, District Industries centre and Collector's	Complied
rs under the provisions of Water (Prevention Control of Pollution) Act 1974, the Air(ention and Control of Pollution) Act 1981, Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, the ic Liability (Insurance) Act,1991 and EIA fication 1994, including the amendments and	Noted
	py of the clearance letter shall be marked to erned Panchayat/ local NGO, if any, from m any suggestion/ representation has been e received while processing the proposal. opy of the environmental clearance letter also be displayed of the website of the erned State Pollution Control Board. The EC c shall also be displayed at the Regional be, District Industries centre and Collector's ce/ Tehsildar's office for 30 days. e stipulations would be enforced among rs under the provisions of Water (Prevention Control of Pollution) Act 1974, the Air(ention and Control of Pollution) Act 1981, Environment (Protection) Act , 1986 , the ic Liability (Insurance) Act,1991 and EIA fication 1994, including the amendments and made thereafter.

Para.15 Para.15 Para.15	Para.14	All other statutory clearances such as the approvals of storage of diesel from Chief Controller of Explosives, Fire Department, Civil Aviation Department, Forest Conservation Act 1980 and Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 etc. shall be obtained, as applicable by project proponents from the respective competent authorities	 Complied 1. Ministry of Defence had issued Clearance for the project on 20th Dec 2016. 2. F& B approval received for the project on 28 Oct 2016. 3. CSL is having Petroleum and Explosives Safety Organisation (PESO) license for operational yard. 4. Clearance from Chief control of explosives & Fire department taken by the contractor for the installation of diesel pump. 5. Forest Clearance not required as there is no forest land diversion as part of project. 6. Standing Committee of NBWL has recommended Dry Dock project for Wildlife clearance in its meeting held on 02 Mar 2017.
Para.16Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the matter of Goa Foundation Vs Union of India in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 460 of 2004 as may be applicable to this project.NotedPara.17Status of compliance to the various stipulated environmental conditions and environmental safeguards will be uploaded by the projectComplied	Para.15	two local Newspapers widely circulated in the region, one of which shall be in the vernacular language informing that the project has been accorded Environmental and CRZ Clearance and copies of clearance letters are available with the State Pollution Control Board and may also be seen on the website of the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change at http://www.envfor.nic.in. The advertisement should be made within Seven days from the date of receipt of the Clearance letter and a copy of the same should be forwarded to the Regional	 Advertisement done on two leading dailies of the region namely Malayala Manorama and Mathrubhumi on 23 Nov 2016 Copy of the Advertisements was forwarded to the regional Office of the MoEFCC at Bangalore
Para.17 environmental conditions and environmental safeguards will be uploaded by the project Complied	Para.16	Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the matter of Goa Foundation Vs Union of India in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 460 of 2004 as may be	Noted .
	Para.17	environmental conditions and environmental safeguards will be uploaded by the project	Complied
Para.18Any appeal against this Clearance shall lie with the National Green Tribunal, if preferred, within a period of 30 days as prescribed under Section 16 of the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010.Noted	Para.18	the National Green Tribunal, if preferred, within a period of 30 days as prescribed under Section	Noted

Para.19	A copy of the clearance letter shall be sent by the proponent to concerned Panchayat, Zilla Parishad/ Municipal Corporation, Urban Local Body and the Local NGO, if any, from whom suggestions /representations, if any, were received while processing the proposal. The clearance letter shall also be put on the website of the company by the proponent.	Complied
Para.20	The proponent shall upload the status of compliance of the stipulated EC conditions, including results of the monitored data on their website and shall update the same periodically. It shall simultaneously be sent to the Regional Office of the MoEFCC, the respective Zonal Office of CPCB and the SPCB.	Noted and will be complied.
Para.21	The environmental statement for each financial year ending 31st March in Form-V as is mandated to be submitted by the project proponent to the concerned State Pollution Control Board as prescribed under the Environmental (Protection) Rules, 1986, as amended subsequently, shall also be put on the website of the company along with the status of compliance of EC conditions and shall also be sent to the respective Regional Office of MoEFCC by e-mail.	Environment statement(Form-V) is attached (Annexure – 2)



gungh 0

General Manager (Infra Projects)

Cochin Shipyard Limited

एल्दो जॉल ELDHO JOHN महा प्रबंधक General Manager कोचीन शिपयार्ड लिमिटेड Cochin Shipyard Ltd. कोच्ची / Kochi - 682 015



INTRODUCTION

Cochin shipyard Limited (CSL) is a company under the Ministry of Shipping specialized in shipbuilding and ship repairing. Since its integration in 1972, CSL has come a long way to become one of the leading shipyards to build ships up to 110000 DWT and repair up to 125000 DWT. It is located in the south west coast of India, in the 'port city' Kochi in the state of Kerala. The shipyard is equipped with state-of-the-art facilities and resources and caters to building and repairing a diverse fleet of ships. CSL has secured shipbuilding contracts from international renowned companies from Europe & middle-east.

As part of its growing requirement CSL is in the process of establishing a new dry dock facility in the Northern part of the existing shipyard. The project is capable of augmenting CSL's existing capacity in ship building and repair by targeting the LNG tankers, drilling rigs/ships, large naval vessels, larger carrier ships.

The project site is located in Kochi city. It is surrounded by residential as well as commercial establishments along with road and rail networks. Nearest railway station is Ernakulam which is 1 km from the site and nearest Airport is Kochi Airport which is 25 km from project site.

CSL has appointed M/s. ITL Labs Pvt. Ltd. for carrying out Environmental monitoring during the construction stage of New Dry Dock Project Vide Work Order No. INFRA/NDD/87/2018 dated 28 June 2018 for the period of Three years on monthly basis. The scope of work includes monitoring of ambient air quality, noise Level, marine water quality, sediment quality, biological parameters, ground water quality & soil quality.

M/s. ITL Labs Pvt. Ltd. is a state of art laboratory well equipped with the latest instruments and recognized by the Ministry of Environment, Forests & Climate change (MoEFCC), Govt. of India. We are also accredited by NABL, BIS and GLP.

We have deputed our team with necessary equipment at the site of CSL for sample collection and data generation work. This is a half yearly report from Oct 2021 to March 2022. The report was based on the sampling done from Oct 2021 to March 2022.



AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MONITORING

Ambient air quality was monitored weekly twice during non-monsoon seasons and monthly in monsoon season during the period Oct 2021 to March 2022. Sampling was carried out at four locations out of which three are at construction sites and one station at a nearby residential area. The locations are:

- 1) Near main gate
- 2) Near DG Set
- 3) Near excavation area
- 4) Neighboring residential area (Across the boundary wall)

The samples were collected and analyzed as per guidelines of Ambient Air quality monitoring CPCB, 2003. The respirable dust sampler and fine particle samples equipment was placed at open space to collect the samples for the analysis of parameters such as PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, SO₂, NO₂ & CO.

The comprehensive monitoring results have been compiled as follows:



Environmental Monitoring Report of M/s Cochin Shipvard Limited from Oct 2021 to March 2022

۰.

			Comp	ilation	of Am	bient A	ir Qua	lity Mo	onitorin	ng Resu	ilts - C	Octobe	r 2021	_				
SL No	Location			ain gate				DG Set		T		vation		Nea	ar Resi	dential	area	
	Parameters	No of Samples	Max value	Min value	Avg. Value	No of Samples	Max value	Min value	Avg. Value	No of Samples	Max value	Min value	Avg. Value	No of Samples	Max	Min	Avg.	Limit
1	Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀), µg/m ³	8	52.1	50.8	51.4	8	61.4	55.1	58.25	8	54.4	51.1	52.75	8	value	47.8	Value 49.2	100
2	Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5)} , µg/m ³	. 8	31.8	29.2	30.3	8	33.6	28.3	30.95	8	31.3	29.2	30.25	8	30.8	26.7	28.75	60
3	Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂), µg/m ³	8	11.1	8.9	9.9	8	11.4	8.2	9.8	8	11.2	9.6	10.4	8	8.9	8.2	8.55	80
4	Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂), µg/m ³	8	10.3	9.1	9.7	8	12.9	10.7	11.7	8	10.2	9.1	9.7	8	10.6	8.9	9.75	80
5	Carbon Monoxide (CO), mg/m ³	8	0.93	0.81	0.87	8	0.81	0.61	0.71	8	0.93	0.79	0.86	8	0.95	0.69	0.82	2

		C	ompil	ation o	of Ambi	ent Air	Quali	ity Mor	nitorin	a Resul	ts - No	ovemb	er 202	1				
SL No	Location			lain gat				DG Set		1		vation		1	r Resid	dential	area	
	Parameters	No of Samples	Max value	Min value	Avg. Value	No of Sample	Max value	Min value	Avg. Value	No of Samples	Max value	Min value	Avg. Value	No of Samples	Max	Min	Avg.	NAAQ Limit
1	Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀), µg/m ³	6	51.8	50.3	51.05	6	62.9	56.9	59.9	6	53.1	51.2	52.1	6	value	value	Value 49.9	100
2	Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5)} , µg/m ³	6	31.2	29.8	30.5	6	34.9	28.1	31.5	6	32.1	30,1	31.1	6	31.9	25.4	28.65	60
3	Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂) µg/m ³	6	10.9	9.3	10.1	6	11.4	8.3	9.85	6	10.9	8.9	9.9	6	9.8	7.6	8.7	80
4	Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂), µg/m ³	6	10.4	9.7	10.05	6	13.2	9.8	11.5	6	11.2	9.4	9.8	6	11.3	8.0	9.65	80
5	Carbon Monoxide (CO), mg/m ³	6	0.91	0.84	0.88	6	0.93	0.61	0.77	6	0.90	0.79	0.84	6	0.92	0.72	0.82	2

3

Environmental Monitoring Report of M/s Cochin Shipvard Limited from Oct 2021 to March 2022
--

		C	ompil	ation o	of Amb	ient Air	Quali	ty Mor	nitoring	g Resul	ts - De	cemb	er 2021					
SL No	Location			ain gati				DG Set		1		vation		1	r Resid	iential	area	NAAC
	Parameters	No of Samples	Max value	Min value	Avg. Value	No of Samples	Max	Min value	Avg. Value	No of Samples	Max	Min value	Avg. Value	No of Samples	Max	Min value	Avg.	Limit
1	Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀), µg/m ³	10	51.4	50.2	50.8	10	60.8	53.3	57.0	10	54.2	51.2	52.7	10	48.6	46.8	Value 47.7	100
2	Particulate Matter (PM2.5), µg/m ³	10	31.6	29.4	30.5	10	32.6	27.6	30.1	10	32.6	29.5	31.1	10	29.4	27.1	28.25	60
3	Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂) µg/m ³	10	10.4	9.4	9.9	10	10.8	7.4	9.1	10	10.8	8.9	9.85	10	9.2	8.1	8.65	80
4	Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂), µg/m ³	10	10.7	9.1	9.9	10	11.9	9.8	10.8	10	11.2	8.7	9.95	10	9.6	8.1	8.85	80
5	Carbon Monoxide (CO), mg/m ³	10	0.93	0.82	0.875	10	0.97	0.58	0.775	10	0.93	0.81	0.87	10	0.89	0.72	0.805	2

SL No	Location	P	lear M	ain gate	e		Near l	DG Set		Nea	r Exca	vation	area	Nea	r Resid	iential a	area	NAAC
	Parameters	No of Samples	Max value	Min value	Avg. Value	No of Samples	Max value	Min value	Avg. Value	No of Samples	Max value	Min value	Avg. Value	No of Samples	Max value	Min value	Avg. Value	Limit
1	Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀), μg/m ³	8	51.6	49.2	50.4	8	58.2	53.1	55.65	8	52.1	50.2	51.15	8	50.3	48.2	49.25	100
2	Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5)} µg/m ³	8	31.5	29.4	30.45	8	32.1	28.3	30.2	8	31.2	29.1	30.1	8	30.4	28.1	29.25	60
3	Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂) µg/m ³	8	10.6	9.2	9.9	8	10.1	8.6	9.3	8	10.2	9.3	9.75	8	10.2	8.6	9.4	80
4	Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂), µg/m ³	8	10.6	9.1	9.85	8	11.1	9.6	10.35	8	10.9	9.3	10.1	8	10.2	9.1	9.65	80
5	Carbon Monoxide (CO), mg/m ³	8	0.91	0.82	0.86	8	0.82	0.61	0.71	8	0.92	0.81	0.86	8	0.86	0.79	0.82	2

Environmental Monitoring Report of M/s Cochin Shipvard Limited from Oct 2021 to March 2022

		(Compi	lation	of Ami	bient Ai	ir Quai	ity Mo	nitorin	a Resu	Its - F	ebruar	v 2022					
SL No	Location			ain gate				DG Set		1		vation		1	r Resid	dential	area	
	Parameters	No of Sample	Max value	Min value	Avg. Value	No of Sample	Max value	Min value	Avg. Value	No of Sample	Max value	Min value	Avg. Value	No of Sample	May	Min value	Avg. Value	NAAC
1	Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀), µg/m ³	8	51.4	49.6	50.5	8	53.2	50.6	51.9	8	51.6	49.8	50.7	s 8	51.2	49.8	50.5	100
2	Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5)} , µg/m ³	8	31.2	29.6	30.4	8	31.8	29.8	30.8	8	30.6	29.4	30	8	31.2	28.9	30.05	60
3	Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂) µg/m ³	8	10.6	9.6	10.1	8	11.6	9.8	10.7	8	10.3	9.6	9.95	8	10.6	9.1	9.85	80
4	Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂), µg/m ³	8	10.2	9.3	9.75	8	11.3	9.8	10.55	8	10.2	9.1	9.65	8	10.6	9.6	10.1	80
5	Carbon Monoxide (CO), mg/m ³	8	0.91	0.83	0.87	8	0.89	0.76	0.82	8	0.93	0.82	0.875	8	0.93	0.82	0.88	2

SL	Location			ain gate				DG Set		ing Res		vation						
110		-								INCA	EXCa	vation	area	Nea	r Resi	dential	area	NAAC
	Parameters	No of Samples	Max value	Min value	Avg. Value	No of Samples	Max value	Min value	Avg. Value	No of Samples	Max	Min value	Avg. Value	No of Samples	Max	Min	Avg.	Limit
1	Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀), µg/m ³	8	51.5	49.8	50.65	8	52.1	50.4	51.25	8	51.6	49.8	50.7	8	51.7	value 50.1	Value 50.9	100
2	Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5)} , µg/m ³	8	31.8	29.8	30.8	8	31.8	29.7	30.75	8	31.7	29.4	30.55	8	31.4	29.0	30.2	60
3	Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂) µg/m ³	8	11.4	9.3	10.35	8	11.4	9.8	10.6	8	10.4	9.6	10.0	8	10.8	9.4	10,1	80
4	Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂), µg/m ³	8	10.8	9.6	10.2	8	10.7	9.6	10.15	8	10.6	8.6	9.6	8	10.0	9.1	9.6	80
5	Carbon Monoxide (CO), mg/m ³	8	0.91	0.79	0.85	8	0.92	0.78	0.85	8	0.88	0.82	0.85	8	0.91	0.79	0.85	2

All the above parameters are within the specified limit of National Ambient Air Quality (NAAQ) as per Environment protection act 1986.

5



0

AMBIENT NOISE QUALITY

As per contract, noise monitoring to be carried out at four locations viz. near DG set, near construction activity, near residential area and near dredging area during the period Oct 2021 to Mar 2022.

The noise was recorded by an automatic noise meter. From the Results Leq (day) & Leq (night) calculated. Average results were calculated on monthly basis and are compiled as given below:

SL No	Location	Sampling Period		C	Day Tim	ie			N	ight Tin	ne	
			No of samples	Leq min	Leq max	Leq mean	Limit	No. of samples	Leq min	Leq max	Leq mean	Lim
		Oct 2021	4	70.0	78.2	74.1	75	4	66.3	72.1	69.2	70
		Nov 2021	3	71.6	74.2	72.9	75	3	67.8	69.2	68.5	70
		Dec 2021	5	69.9	74.6	72.25	75	5	65.4	72.1	68.75	70
1	Near DG set	Jan 2022	4	59.6	74.6	67.1	75	4	59.8	72.1	65.95	70
		Feb 2022	4	59.6	74.6	67.1	75	4	59.4	69.8	64.6	70
		Mar 2022	4	59.6	74.6	67.1	75	4	59.4	69.8	64.6	70
		Average		65.05	75.13	70.09	75		63.02	70.85	66.93	70
		Oct 2021	4	63.4	65.2	64.3	75	4	53.4	57.2	55.3	70
		Nov 2021	3	64.2	67.1	65.6	75	3	56.2	58.1	57.15	70
	Near	Dec 2021	5	59.6	69.3	64.4	75	5	54.6	63.2	58.9	70
2	Construction	Jan 2022	4	63.1	70.3	66.7	75	4	56.2	69.3	62.75	70
	area	Feb 2022	4	63.1	73.1	68.1	75	4	62.4	70.3	66.35	70
		Mar 2022	4	63.1	73.1	68.1	75	4	59.3	68.3	63.8	70
		Average	rates a	62.75	69.68	66.22	75		57.02	64.40	60.71	70
		Oct 2021	4	51.7	54.8	53.25	65	4	44.3	49.6	46.95	55
		Nov 2021	3	52.1	56.4	54.25	65	3	45.2	48.1	46.65	55
	Near	Dec 2021	5	51.6	55.6	53.6	65	5	41.6	49.2	45.4	55
3	Residential	Jan 2022	4	55.6	59.6	57.6	65	4	49.2	59.3	54.2	55
	area	Feb 2022	4	55.6	59.6	57.6	65	4	49.2	59.3	54.2	55
		Mar 2022	4	55.6	64.8	60.2	65	4	49.2	58.6	53.9	55
		Average		53.7	58.4	56.1	65		46.45	54.02	50.23	55
		Oct 2021	4	56.2	57.8	57	75	4	50.4	52.4	51.4	70
		Nov 2021	3	57.1	58.1	57.6	75	3	51.1	54.1	52.6	70
4	Near	Dec 2021	5	57.2	62.3	59.75	75	5	50.4	56.3	53.35	70
	Dredging	Jan 2022	4	56.5	62.3	59.4	75	4	51.6	62.8	57.2	70
	area	Feb 2022	4	56.5	62.3	59.4	75	4	51.6	62.8	57.2	70
		Mar 2022	4	61.6	68.3	64.95	75	4	51.6	62.8	57.2	70
		Average	Service 1	57.52	61.9	59.68	75	ACCESSION OF	51.1	58.5	54.83	70

AMBIENT NOISE STANDARDS AS PER THE NOISE POLLUTION (REGULATION AND CONTROL) RULES, 2000

Area	Category of Area	Limits	in dB(A) Leq
Area	Category of Area	Day Time	Night Time
A	Industrial	75	70
8	Commercial	65	55
С	Residential	55	45
D	Silence Zone	50	40

The noise levels of all locations are found within the permissible limit.

GROUND WATER QUALITY

Water samples were collected each month from the existing bore well at the new dry dock site and the samples collected are tested as per IS 10500: 2012.

SL No	Parameters	RESULT (Oct 21)	RESULT (Nov 21)	RESULT (Dec 21)	RESULT (Jan 22)	RESULT (Feb 22)	RESULT (Mar 22)	LIMIT AS PER IS 10500: 2012
1	Colour, Hazen units	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	5.0
2	Odour	Agreeable	Agreeable	Agreeabl e	Agreeable	Agreeable	Agreeable	Agreeable
3	pH Value	7.43	7.31	7.68	7.68	7.38	7.61	6.5-8.5
4	Turbidity, NTU	Agreeable	Agreeable	Agreeabl e	Agreeable	Agreeable	Agreeable	1.0
5	Total Dissolved solids, mg/l	382	363	393	421	432	456	500
6	Calcium (as Ca), mg/l	33.2	29	28.8	27.3	31.2	33.4	75
7	Magnesium (as Mg), mg/l	15.3	14	14.5	15.6	17. <mark>6</mark>	15.7	30
8	Chloride (as Cl), mg/l	58.2	42	43.6	46.2	49.3	48.1	250
9	Iron (as Fe), mg/l	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.3
10	Sulphate (as SO4), mg/l	16.3	11	19.3	20.1	23.1	21.5	200
11	Total Hardness (as CaCO ₃), mg/l	146	129	132	139	149	138	200
12	Total Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃), mg/l	157	134	119	125	134	121	200
13	Escherichia coli/100ml	Present	Present	Present	Present	Present	Present	Should be absent



MARINE WATER QUALITY

Samples were collected on monthly basis from marine area of construction site and monitoring results are recorded as follows:

SL No	Parameters	Unit	RESULT (Oct 21)	RESULT (Nov 21)	RESULT (Dec 21)	RESULT (Jan 22)	RESULT (Feb 22)	RESULT (Mar 22)	Detection limit
1	Temperature	°c	24.3	24.6	21.3	22.1	22.4	24.6	
2	Salinity	psu	2.58	2.51	2.61	2.64	2.60	2.53	0.1
3	pH Value		7.56	7.37	7.36	7.42	7.39	7.41	
4	Conductivity	mS/cm	3.26	3.38	3.36	2.64	2.73	2.67	0.1
5	Turbidity, NTU	NTU	2.67	2.53	2.67	2.41	2.26	2.26	1.0
6	Total Dissolved solids,	mg/l	2296	2267	2263	2342	2116	2264	1.0
7	Dissolved Oxygen	mg/l	4.9	4.5	3.7	3.1	3.2	3.1	0.5
8	Nitrate nitrogen	µmol/l	13.68	14.03	11.6	10.6	11.3	10.4	0.1
9	Nitrite Nitrogen	µmol/l	0.31	0.32	0.24	0.24	0.28	0.26	0.05
10	Anionic detergents (as MBAS)	µg/l	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.1
11	Suspended Solid	mg/l	23	21	19	13	9	8	0.1
12	BOD	Mg/I	5.2	4.8	4.3	4.6	4.9	4.3	1.0
13	Silicate	mg/l	3.2	3.2	3.6	3.1	2.8	2.2	0.01
14	phosphate	mg/l	3.1	3.0	4.1	4.3	2.4	2.1	0.5
15	Total hardness as CaCO ₃	mg/l	788	756	739	807	786	735	0.5
16	Calcium hardness as CaCO3	mg/i	438	419	343	345	336	376	0.2
17	Oil & Grease	mg/l	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.1
18	Total Chromium (as Cr), mg/l	mg/l	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.003
19	Copper (as Cu), mg/l	mg/l	0.042	0.044	0.041	0.043	0.041	0.038	0.003
20	Manganese (as Mn), mg/l	mg/l	0.021	0.020	0.026	0.021	0.026	0.024	0.003
21	Zinc (as Zn), mg/l	mg/l	0.35	0.33	0.41	0.47	0.42	0.46	0.025
22	Iron (as Fe), mg/I	mg/l	0.46	0.49	0.32	0.36	0.63	0.59	0.05
23	Cadmium (as Cd), mg/l	mg/l	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.002
24	Nickel (as Ni)	mg/l	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.002
25	Cobalt (as Co)	mg/l	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.002
26	Lead (as Pb)	mg/l	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.002
27	Total Coliform/100ml	MPN/100M L	13600	13700	40000	35000	13600	40000	1
28	Faecal Coliform/100ml	MPN/100M L	2100	2200	2600	1800	2100	2100	1



8

SEDIMENT QUALITY

The sediment samples are collected once every month by grab sampler.

SL No	Parameter	Units	RESULT (Oct 21)	RESULT (Nov 21)	RESULT (Dec 21)	RESULT (Jan 22)	RESULT (Feb 22)	RESULT (Mar 22)
1	Texture		Sandy Clay	Sandy Clay	Sandy Clay	Sandy Clay	Sandy Clay	Sandy Clay
	Gravel	%	1.9	1.1	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.3
	Sand	%	31.8	30.7	31.2	32.1	31.9	30.8
	Silt	%	23.2	22.6	22.6	22.2	21.8	21.1
	Clay	%	43.1	42.4	44.2	43.7	44.0	45.8
2	Nitrate Nitrogen	µmol/ kg	7.9	7.0	6.3	5.9	6.7	6.2
3	Nitrite Nitrogen	µmol/ kg	0.23	0.29	0.26	0.22	0.19	0.16
4	Phosphate	µmol/ kg	4.6	4.1	4.1	3.8	2.9	2.5
5	Lead	mg/kg	5.6	5.3	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.1
6	Zinc	mg/kg	20.7	20.2	18.4	17.6	14.8	13.1
7	Iron	mg/kg	2438	2419	2362	2379	2169	2132
8	Copper	mg/kg	10.2	9.4	9.52	9.43	9.38	9.19
9	Total organic carbon	%	1.8	1.3	1.6	1.3	2.1	2.3



9

SOIL QUALITY

Soil samples were collected on a monthly basis from the project site at 60 cm depth and it was analyzed as per IS: 2720. The details of results as follows:

SL No	Parameter	Units	Oct 2021	Mar 2022
1	Texture	-	Sandy clay	Sandy clay
2	рН	-	7.36	7.21
3	Particle Size	-	Less than 2.0 mm	Less than 2.0 mm
4	Nitrogen	mg/100 gm	1.18	1.12
5	Potassium	mg/Kg	122	148
6	Moisture	%	17.2	17.2
7	Sulphates	mg/Kg	78	62
8	Organic Carbon	%	1.02	0.81
9	Chlorides (as Cl)	mg/Kg	158	158
10	Conductivity (20 % slurry)	µs/cm	26.56	32.1
11	Sodium (as Na)	mg/Kg	167	142
12	Sodium absorption Ratio (SAR)	-	0.94	0.78
13	Calcium (as Ca)	mg/Kg	1367	1262
14	Magnesium (as Mg)	mg/Kg	41.2	39.2



BIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS OF MARINE WATER

Samples were collected on monthly basis from marine area of construction site and monitoring results are recorded as follows:

SL No	Name of species	Quantity Present Oct 21	Quantity Present Nov 21	Quantity Present Dec 21	Quantity Present Jan 22	Quantity Present Feb 22	Quantity Present Mar 22
1	Cyanophyceae						TVIGT and
	a) Oscillatoria species	11	13	13	11	13	14
	b) Spirulina species	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c) Nostoc species	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Bacillariophyceae				•		
	a) Asterionellopsis glacialis	0	0	0	0	0	0
	b) Cerataulina species	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c) Chaetoceros species	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d) Coscinodiscus species	934	938	929	919	912	926
	e) Cyclotell species	21	20	20	19	16	17
	f) Ditylum brightwelli	13	15	15	18	21	24
	g) Lauderia species	0	0	0	0	0	0
	h) Leptocylindrus species	15	17	17	19	17	19
	i) Navicula species	0	0	0	0	0	0
	j) Nitzschia	86	84	89	86	92	93
	k) Odontella	41	43	39	42	42	46
	I) Pseudo – Nitzschia	21	22	23	21	21	19
	m) Pleurosigma species	62	65	60	68	72	69
	n) Rhizosolenia	10	12	13	16	16	19
	o) Surirella species	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Dinophyceae						
	a) Alexandrium	0	0	0	0	0	0
	b) Prorocentrum	17	19	15	14	13	11
	c) Pyrophacus	9	11	12	16	19	18
	d) Pyrocystis	7	8	9	8	8	7
1	e) Ceratium	4	6	5	4	6	8
ſ	f) Protoperidinium	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	Chlorophyceae					0	0
t	a) Pediastrum	4	7	6	7	8	9
5	Dictyochophyceae					0	Э
F	a) Dictyocha	8	6	8	9	11	17
	TOTAL	1263	1286	1273	1277	11 1287	17

PHYTOPLANKTON ANALYSIS



PHYTOPLANKTON ANALYSIS

SL No	Name of species	Percentage (%) Oct 21	Percentage (%) Nov 21	Percentage (%) Dec 21	Percentage (%) Jan 22	Percentage (%) Feb 22	Percentage (%) Mar 22
1	Cyanophyceae						
	a) Oscillatoria						
	species	0.87	1.01	1.02	0.86	1.01	1.06
	b) Spirulina species	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	c) Nostoc species	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	Bacillariophyceae						
	a) Asterionellopsis glacialis	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	b) Cerataulina species	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	c) Chaetoceros species	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	d) Coscinodiscus species	73.95	72.94	72.98	71.97	70.86	70.36
	e) Cyclotell species	1.66	1.56	1.57	1.49	1.24	1.29
	f) Ditylum brightwelli	1.03	1.17	1.18	1.41	1.63	1.82
	g) Lauderia species	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	h) Leptocylindrus species	1.19	1.32	1.34	1.49	1.32	1.44
	i) Navicula species	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	j) Nitzschia	6.81	6.53	6.99	6.73	7.15	7.07
	k) Odontella	3.25	3.34	3.06	3.29	3.26	3.50
	I) Pseudo – Nitzschia	1.66	1.71	1.81	1.64	1.63	1.44
	m) Pleurosigma species	4.91	5.05	4.71	5.32	5.59	5.24
	n) Rhizosolenia	0.79	0.93	1.02	1.25	1.24	1.44
	o) Surirella species	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	Dinophyceae						
[a) Alexandrium	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	b) Prorocentrum	1.35	1.48	1.18	1.10	1.01	0.84
T	c) Pyrophacus	0.71	0.86	0.94	1.25	1.48	1.37
	d) Pyrocystis	0.55	0.62	0.71	0.63	0.62	0.53
	e) Ceratium	0.32	0.47	0.39	0.31	0.47	0.61
	f) Protoperidinium	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	Chlorophyceae						
	a) Pediastrum	0.32	0.54	0.47	0.55	0.62	0.68
5	Dictyochophyceae						
	a) Dictyocha	0.63	0.47	0.63	0.70	0.85	1.29
	TOTAL:	100	100	100	100	100	100



ZOOPLANKTON ANALYSIS

SL No	Name of Species	Abundance Oct 2021	Abundance Nov 2021	Abundance Dec 2021	Abundance Jan 2022	Abundance Feb 2022	Abundance Mar 2022
1	Calanoid copepod	112	115	110	113	116	112
2	Cycloid copepod	65	62	64	69	73	76
3	Cirripede nauplii	10	8	13	11	11	14
4	Fish Egg	32	30	27	30	31	29
5	Fish Larva	13	11	16	14	14	16
6	Shrimp zoea	32	34	37	35	31	33
7	Gastropod veliger	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	Crab zoea	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Lucifer Sp.	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Codonellopsis sp.	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	Amphipod	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	Penilia avirostris	35	37	32	38	42	45
13	Crustacean Nauplii	44	42	41	46	49	44
14	Copepod Nauplii	15	17	18	16	19	21
15	Planktonic polychaete	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	358	356	358	372	386	390



SL No	Name Of Species	Percentage of Total (%) Oct 21	Percentage of Total (%) Nov 21	Percentage of Total (%) Dec 21	Percentage of Total (%) Jan 22	Percentage of Total (%) Feb 22	Percentage of Total (%) Mar 22
4	Calanoid						
1	copepod	31.28	32.30	30.73	30.38	30.05	28.72
2	Cycloid copepod	18.16	17.42	17.88	18.55	18.91	19.49
3	Cirripede Nauplii	2.79	2.25	3.63	2.96	2.85	3.59
4	Fish Egg	8.94	8.43	7.54	8.06	8.03	7.44
5	Fish Larva	3.63	3.09	4.47	3.76	3.63	4.10
6	Shrimp zoea	8.94	9.55	10.34	9.41	8.03	8.46
7	Gastropod veliger	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8	Crab zoea	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
9	Lucifer Sp.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10	Codonellop sis sp.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11	Amphipod	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12	Penilia avirostris	9.78	10.39	8.94	10.22	10.88	11.54
13	Crustacean Nauplii	12.29	11.80	11.45	12.37	12.69	11.28
14	Copepod Nauplii	4.19	4.78	5.03	4.30	4.92	5.38
15	Planktonic polychaete	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TOTA	۱L	100	100	100	100	100	100

ZOOPLANKTON ANALYSIS



BENTHOS ANALYSIS

SL No	Name Of Species	Abundance Oct 21	Abundance Nov 21	Abundance Dec 21	Abundance Jan 22	Abundance Feb 22	Abundance Mar 22
A)	MeioBenthos						
1	Nematodes	65	64	54	61	67	71
2	Polychaetes	13	15	8	11	13	14
3	Ostracods	17	14	13	9	7	9
TOTAL		95	93	75	81	87	94
B)	Micro Bentho)5					L
1	Polychaetes	426	424	468	471	473	468
2	Crustaceans	396	391	376	369	369	372
3	Molluscs	35	38	30	32	56	61
4	Others	189	186	191	186	178	181
TOTAL		1046	1039	1065	1058	1076	1082

SL No	Name Of Species	Percentage of Total (%) Oct 21	Percentage of Total (%) Nov 21	Percentage of Total (%) Dec 21	Percentage of Total (%) Jan 22	Percentage of Total (%) Feb 22	Percentage of Total (%) Mar 22
A)	MeioBenthos						
1	Nematodes	68.42	68.82	72.0	75.31	77.01	75.53
2	Polychaetes	13.68	16.13	10.7	13.58	14.94	14.89
3	Ostracods	17.89	15.05	17.3	11.11	8.05	9.57
TOTAL		100	100	100	100	100	100
B)	Micro Bentho	S					
1	Polychaetes	40.73	40.81	43.94	44.52	43.96	43.25
2	Crustaceans	37.86	37.63	35.31	34.88	34.29	34.38
3	Molluscs	3.35	3.66	2.82	3.02	5.20	5.64
4	Others	18.07	17.90	17.93	17.58	16.54	16.73
TOTAL		100	100	100	100	100	100

SUMMARY

All the tested parameters of ambient air are within the specified limit of NAAQS. The noise level in all places is also within the specified limit. All the other samples are normal and not found any major pollutants.



ANNEXURE-2 of ENCLOSURE-2





(A Government of India Category-1 Ministry Company, Ministry of Ports, Shipping and Waterways)

INFRA/197/2021

19 May 2022

The Member Secretary, Kerala State Pollution Control Board, Pattom PO, Thiruvananthapuram – 695 004.

Sub: SUBMISSION OF ANNUAL ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT (FORM-V)

Ref: Environmental Clearance issued vide letter no. 10-9/2015-IA III dated 09 Nov 2016 for the project 'New Dry Dock Facility at Cochin Shipyard Ltd, Kochi, Kerala by M/s Cochin Shipyard Ltd'.

Please be informed that while awarding EC for the project viz., 'New Dry Dock Facility at Cochin Shipyard Ltd, Kochi, Kerala by M/s Cochin Shipyard Ltd', MoEFCC had asked to submit Environmental Statement for each financial year ending on 31st March in Form – V to the concerned State Pollution Control Board. Accordingly, Form-V statement of the project referred above pertaining to FY 21-22 is submitted herewith.

Yours faithfully,

For Cochin Shipyard Limited

General Manager (Materials) & Occupier -Environment (Protection) Act 1986

हरिकृष्णन एस/HARIKRISHNAN ऽ दखलकार-पयांवरण(संरक्षण) अधिनियम । Occupier-Environment(Protection) Act 1986 कोचीन शिपयार्ड लिमिटेड Cochin Shipyard Ltd. काच्यी/Kochi- 15



पंजीकृत कार्यालय : प्रशासनिक भवन, पी.ओ.बेग सं 1653, पेरुमानूर पी. ओ., कोच्ची - 682 015 Registered Office : Administrative Building, P.O. Bag No. 1653, Perumanoor P.O., Kochi - 682 015 फोन / Phone : +91(484) 2361181 / 2501200 फाक्स / Fax : +91 (484) 2370897 / 2383902 वेबसाइट / Website : www.cochinshipyard.com, सीआईएन / CIN: L63032KL1972G01002414

ANNEXURE

ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT FORM - V (See rule 14)

Environmental Statement for the financial year ending with 31st March 2022

PART A

i.	Name and address of the owner/ Occupier of the industry	Sri. Harikrishnan S, GM (Materials) & Occupier (Environment-Protection)
	Operation or process	: Construction of New Dry Dock
ii.	Industry category Primary-(STC Code) Secondary- (STC Code)	: Ship Building and Ship Repair.
iii.	Production category - Units.	: Ships.
iv.	Year of establishment	: April 1972
V.	Date of the last environmental statement submitted	: 09 Sept 2021

PART B

Water and Raw Material Consumption

- *i.* Water consumption in m^3/d
 - Process : 25 Cooling : Nil

Domestic : 12

	Total Process water consumption in m ³				
Name of Products	During previous year financial year (April '20 – March '21)	During current financial year (April '21 – March '22)			
New Dry dock	19982	7178.16			

h

ii. Raw material consumption

	Nome of	Consumption of Raw Material.			
Name of Raw Material*	Name of Products	During previous year financial year (April '20 – March '21)	During current financial year (April '21 – March '22)		
Diesel	-	939.265 m ³	1035.891 m ³		
Cement					
Ggbs	Concrete	41446.25 m ³	30827.75 m ³		
Sand	Concrete	41440.23 m	30827.73 m		
Aggregates					
TMT		75126.959 MT	6910.711 MT		

* Industry may use codes if disclosing details of raw material would violate contractual obligations, otherwise all industries have to name the raw materials used.

PART C

Pollution discharged to environment/unit of output (Parameter as specified in the consent issued)

Pollutants	Quantity of pollutants discharged (mass/day)	Concentration of Pollutants discharged	Percentage of variation From prescribed standards with reasons
(a) Water	Nil	Nil	Nil
(b) Air	Nil	Nil	Nil

PART D

HAZARDOUS WASTES

(As specified under Hazardous Wastes (Management & Handling Rules, 1989)

Hazardous Wastes	Total Quantity in (KL ,L ,Tonnes ,Kg ,Items)	
	During previous year financial year (April '20 – March '21)	During current financial year (April '21 – March '22)
(a) <u>From Process</u>	2950 L	4000 L
(b) From pollution control facilities	Nil	Nil

fron

SOLID WASTES:

Solid Wastes	Total Quantity (Kg)	
	During previous year financial year (April '20 – March '21)	During current financial year (April '21 – March '22)
(a) From Process 1. Plastic Waste 2. Metal Scrap	1. 1455 Kg 2. 466.33 MT	1. 0 Kg 2. 276.36 MT
(b) Food Waste	95450 Kg	95320 Kg
(c) From Pollution control facilities	Nil	Nil
(d) Quantity recycled or re utilised within the unit	171 m ³	103 m ³

PART F

Please specify the characteristics (in terms of concentration and quantum) of hazardous as well as solid wastes and indicate disposal practice adopted for both these categories of wastes.

Hazardous Waste

As per the Hazardous Waste (Management, Handling & Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2008 and subsequent amendment; following hazardous wastes could be generated from New Dry Dock Project- Cochin.

Category No. 5.1: Used /Waste Oil (from heavy equipment/ vehicles during maintenance and repairing.)

Method of Handling and disposal: 4000 L of Waste/Used oil is generated in the site. It is stored in sealed drums (Capacity - 200L each) for disposal at respective storage yard. It has been disposed to State Pollution control Board authorized vendors.

PART G

Impact of the pollution control measures taken on conservation of natural resources and consequently on the cost of production

Energy & Natural Resources Conservation:

At NDDP-Cochin, additional measures have been taken towards energy conservation as well as reduction of CO_2 gas. Instead of using DG sets, NDDP upgraded its electrical supply to KSEB supply. Thus, about 5000 L per month diesel fuel i.e. a natural resource is saved. In terms of costing it saves about \$50,00,000.

PART H

Additional measures/investment proposal for environmental protection including abatement of pollution

1. Installation of Sprinkler system

Installed sprinkler arrangement in Old Thevara Road to suppress the Generation of Dust.

2. Mechanised Road Sweeping Machine

Procured mechanised road sweeping machine for cleaning the roads.

3. Pollution certificates of all vehicles and machineries had taken

PART I

Any other particulars in respect of environmental protection and abatement of pollution.

1. Plantation Drive

During different occasion like Environment Day, World Earth Day, Safety Day etc. NDDP-Cochin organizes plantation drive at site.

2. Waste Minimisation

Waste minimisation is an integral part of NDDP-Cochin. Almost all the measures outlined by the Waste Minimisation has been implemented in the project.

Eg: Concrete waste has been reused for providing base for the barricading works.

हरिकृष्णन एस/HARIKRISHNAN S दखलकार-पर्यावरण(संरक्षण) अधिनिष्म 1986 Occupier-Environment(Protection) कोचीन शिपयार्ड लिमिटंड Cochin Shipyard Ltd. कोच्ची / Kochi- 15