## **EC COMPLIANCE REPORT - 9**

(October 2020 - March 2021)

# NEW DRY DOCK PROJECT AT COCHIN SHIPYARD LIMITED, KOCHI



## कोचीन शिपयार्ड लिमिटेड



## COCHIN SHIPYARD LIMITED (A Government of India Category-1 Miniratha Company, Ministry of Ports, Shipoing and Waterways)

INFRA/NDD/95/2018

31 May 2021

The Additional Principal Chief Conservator of Forests(C) Ministry of Environment, Forests & Climate Change, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, E&F Wings, Kendriya Sadan, Koramangala, Bangalore-560 034

Sub: Submission of documents in connection with Environmental Clearance for Dry-dock project of Cochin Shipyard Limited (CSL).

Ref: Environmental Clearance (EC) letter No.10-9/2015-IA-III dated 09 Nov 2016.

Sir,

- 1. This has reference to MoEFCC letter No.10-9/2015-IA-III dated 09 Nov 2016 according Environmental and CRZ clearance for new Dry Dock project of CSL.
- 2. Half yearly compliance report for the period, Oct 2020 to Mar 2021, including monitoring data is submitted herewith for your records please. Updated Monitoring report-Proforma-1 is also attached.

Hope the above will suffice requirements from MoEFCC.

Yours faithfully,

Han

Harikrishnan S General Manager (Materials)

Occupier - Environment (Protection) Act 1986

#### Encl:

1. Monitoring Proforma Part-1

2. EC Compliance status report

दखलकार-पर्यावरण(संरक्षण) अधिनयम 1986 Occupier-Environment(Protection) Act 1986 कोचीन शिपयार्ड लिमिटेड Cochin Shipyard Ltd.

Copy: 1) Shri. M A Baiju, Chief Environmental Engineer, Ernakulam Regional office, Kerala State Pollution Control Board, Gandhi Nagar, Ernakulam – 682 020

 Shri. S Suresh, Scientist 'E' & In charge, Regional Directorate Central Pollution Control Board, 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> Floors, Nisarga Bhavan A – Block, Thimmaiah Main Road, 7<sup>th</sup> D Cross, Shivanagar, Bengaluru – 560079



## **Enclosure-1**

## MONITORING REPORT - PROFORMA - PART I

File No: INFRA/NDD/812/15 Ref Letter No: INFRA/NDD/812/15 Date: 31 May 2021

Name of the project	New Dry Dock Facility by Cochin Shipyard Ltd.	
Clearance letter No. & date	Environmental Clearance (EC) letter No.10-9/2015-IA-III dated 09 Nov 2016.	
Location : District & State / UT	Ernakulam, Kerala Latitude : 09° 57′ 37.0488" N Longitude : 76° 17′ 05.4458" E	
Address for correspondence:	Shri. Harikrishnan s Occupier-Environment (Protection) Act 1986 Cochin Shipyard Limited, Perumanoor P O ,Kochi-682015 Ph: +91 484 2501360 Fax: +91 484 2370897 Email: harikrishnan.s@cochinshipyard.in	
Contact No. of Office with name of responsible official	Shri. Eldho John General Manager (Infra Projects) Infra Projects Department, Cochin Shipyard Limited, Perumanoor P O ,Kochi-682015 Ph: +91 484 2501913 Fax: +91 484 2370897 Email: eldho.john@cochinshipyard.in	
Mobile No. of concerned officials associated with monitoring	Shri. Mohammed Gazel P A Senior Manager (Infra Projects) Infra Projects Department Cochin Shipyard Limited, Mob: +91 9895705124 Email: m.gazel@cochinshipyard.in	
<ul> <li>a) Project cost as originally planned and subsequent revised estimates and the years of price reference</li> <li>b) Allocations made for</li> </ul>	Cost Estimate ( DPR stage)- 1799 Crores, year 2016	
environmental management plans, with item wise and year wise breakup	Contaminated Water Treatment Plant- 15.406 Crores Green Belt Development- 13.93 lakhs	
a) Actual expenditure incurred on the project so far	Rs. 677.26 Crores as on 31 Mar 2021	
b) Actual expenditure incurred on the environmental management plans so far.	Actions are being taken to incur the expenditure earmarked for EMP, which will happen along with the construction works progressing at the site.  As on 31 Mar 2021, Rs. 6,87,833/- has been paid to Social Forestry Division of Kerala Forest Department for green belt development.	
	Clearance letter No. & date  Location: District & State / UT  Address for correspondence:  Contact No. of Office with name of responsible official  Mobile No. of concerned officials associated with monitoring  a) Project cost as originally planned and subsequent revised estimates and the years of price reference b) Allocations made for environmental management plans, with item wise and year wise breakup a) Actual expenditure incurred on the project so far  b) Actual expenditure incurred on the environmental management plans so far.	

9	Date of commencement (actual and/or planned)	Planned & Actual: June 2018
10	Date of completion (actual and/or planned)	Planned: Dec 2022
11	Validity of CFO	Consent No.PCB/HO/EKM-1/ICE-R/14/2019 issued on 6/11/2019. Valid up to 17/05/2024
12	Reasons for the delay if the project is yet to start	<u>-</u>
13	Present status of the project:	Construction contract awarded to M/s Larsen & Toubro Ltd, Construction, Heavy Civil Infrastructure, Chennai on 27 April 2018. Construction works commenced on 01 June 2018. Ground improvement works completed and RCC piling in progress. Physical progress of the works is 45%.  Purchase order for 600T Gantry issued to M/s Hyundai Samho Heavy Industries Co., Ltd., South Korea on 14 March 2019. Design review in progress
14	E-mail ID of the contact person to whom communications to be sent	harikrishnan.s@cochinshipyard.in with copy to:  1) gmmat@cochinshipyard.in 2) eldho.john@cochinshipyard.in 3) m.gazel@cochinshipyard.in 4) rajeev.karunakaran@cochinshipyard.in
15	FAX Number	+91 484 2370897



General Manager (Infra Projects)

Cochin Shipyard Limited
एल्दी जीम्मेश्वर Limited
ELDHO JOHN
महा प्रबंधक
General Manager
कोचीन शिपयार्ड लिमिटेड
Cochin Shipyard Ltd.
कोच्ची / Kochi - 682 015



## **Enclosure-2**

## NEW DRY DOCK PROJECT AT COCHIN SHIPYARD LTD.

## EC COMPLIANCE STATUS – OCT 2020 to MAR 2021

SL No.	Conditions	Compliance Status as on 31 Mar 2021
A. SPE	CIFIC CONDITIONS	
i	Consent for Establishment shall be obtained from State Pollution Control Board under the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 and the Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974.	Complied. KSPCB had issued Consent to Establish for dry dock project. (ConsentNo.PCB/HO/EKM-1/ICE/24/2016 and Consent No. PCB/HO/EKM-1/ICE-R/14/2019)
ii	Construction activity shall be carried out strictly according to the provisions of CRZ Notification, 2011. No construction work other than those permitted in Coastal Regulation Zone Notification shall be carried out in Coastal Regulation Zone area.	CSL ensures that no construction work other than those mentioned in approved layout will be carried out.
iii	The environmental clearance is subject to obtaining prior clearance for Wildlife from the Standing Committee of the National Board for Wildlife.	Complied. Standing Committee of NBWL has cleared the project in its meeting held on 02 Mar 2017.
iv	All the recommendations and conditions specified by Kerala Coastal Zone Management Authority vide letter no.4232/A2/ KCZMA/S&TD dated 18th August, 2016 shall be complied with.	Complied. Kerala Coastal Zone Management Authority (KCZMA) has recommended the project without any specific conditions. All requirements as per CRZ Notification are being complied during construction phase and will be complied during Operation phase too.
V	The project proponent shall ensure that there shall be no damage to the existing mangroves patches near site and also ensure the free flow of water to avoid damage to the mangroves.	Complied. There are no mangroves near site.
vi	The project proponents shall ensure that no creeks or rivers are blocked due to any activities at the project site and free flow of water is maintained.	Complied.  Mathematical modeling study was conducted by CWPRS, Pune. CWPRS report states that the development of proposed new dry dock at CSL on north side of existing quay wall will not hamper functioning of various waterfront facilities in the Ernakulam channel and hence may be constructed.





vii	Shorelines should not be disturbed due to dumping. Periodical study on shore line changes shall be conducted and mitigation carried out, if necessary. The details shall be submitted along with the six monthly monitoring reports.	disturbed. Hence shoreline change is not envisaged.  Dredged material will be disposed off at the two offshore dumping sites maintained by Cochin Port Trust, which are North (10° 00"N, 76° 05"E) and South (9° 55"N, 76° 06"E) Dumping
viii	Since Ernakulam Channel ultimately meets the sea and the discharge is planned to conform to marine quality standards, the project proponent shall get a marine biodiversity management plan prepared from the NIOS or any other marine biology specialist institution and implement the same. The plan should safeguard the biodiversity of the channel as also the biodiversity impacts as a result of confluence with the sea.	Grounds. The dumping sites are located at a distance of about 21 km away from the project site.  CSIR-NIO had prepared "Environmental and Biodiversity Management Plan for Conservation of Marine Ecology due to the proposed Dry Dock Facility at Cochin Shipyard Ltd" and submitted on 21 March 2017. The recommendations of Marine Biodiversity management plan prepared by CSIR-NIO are strictly being followed during the construction phase.
ix	The ground water shall not be tapped within CRZ areas by the PP to meet with the water requirement in any case.	Water requirement for the construction activities is being arranged from outside agencies in tankers. Ground water will not be extracted for any construction activity.
X	Well designed drainage system shall be provided to dewater the dock while excavation. As proposed, extracted water will be released in to the sea after necessary treatment. CGWB permission shall be obtained for dewatering the dock during construction.	Complied.  A joint team of CGWB and Ground Water Department, Kerala inspected the site on 01 April 2017 and submitted their report to State Ground Water Authority, Kerala.  Secretary, Water Resource Department, Kerala has issued 'No objection Certificate' for Construction of dry dock and dewatering vide letter No.GW1/296/2017-WRD dated 18 July 2017.  Well designed drainage system will be provided to dewater the dock while excavation. Extracted water will be released in to the sea only after necessary treatment







xvi	All measures shall be taken during the excavation activity as deemed necessary from the geotechnical investigation of the soil and ground water profile.	Noted and will be complied.
XV	Necessary arrangements for the treatments of the effluents and solid wastes must be made and it must be ensured that they conform to the standards laid down by the competent authorities including the Central or State Pollution Control Board and under the environment (protection) Act, 1986.	Will be ensured by the proposed Contaminated Water Treatment Plant.
xiv	The diesel generators (of capacity 250 KVA) shall be used as back-up power supply and shall be run only during power cuts.  Low sulphur content fuel will be used for the generators and will be subjected to periodical maintenance and servicing. This will cut down on emission volume to a considerable extent. Also, the DG sets will be provided with mufflers for pollutant emission control.	Noted and incorporated in the Environmenta Management Plan for its compliance.
xiii	The work space shall be maintained as per international standards for occupational health and safety with provision of fresh air respirators, blowers, and fans to prevent any accumulation and inhalation of undesirable levels of pollutants including VOCs.	Noted and incorporated in the Environmenta Management Plan for its compliance.
xii	Dust collectors shall be deployed in all areas where blasting (surface cleaning) and painting operations are to be carried out, supplemented by stacks for effective dispersion.	Will be implemented during operation of the dock as well as construction phase.
xi	Shrouding shall be carried out in the work site enclosing the dock area. This will act as dust curtain as well achieving zero dust discharge from the site. These curtain or shroud will be immensely effective in restricting disturbance from wind in affecting the dry dock operations, preventing waste dispersion, improving working conditions through provision of shade for the workers.	Galvalume sheets erected up to height of 10 M in north and east boundaries.





xvii	Construction activity related wastes (C&D waste) shall be disposed off as per Solid waste management rule, 2016.	Noted and incorporated in the Environmental Management Plan for its compliance.
xviii	All such solid and hazardous wastes including onboard wastes (while ships dock at the site) will be handled as per the Hazardous and other wastes (Management and Trans boundary Movement) Rules, 2016	Will be ensured during operation phase.
xix	Silt curtains shall be used to contain the spreading of suspended sediment during dredging within the dredging area.	Noted and incorporated in the Environmental Management Plan for its compliance.
xx	The dredging schedule shall be so planned that the turbidity developed is dispersed soon enough to prevent any stress on the fish population.	Will be ensured during operation phase. During construction phase, Construction of Cofferdam will facilitate excavation rather than dredging in the area of dock protruded to channel. CSL will strictly follow the Bio Diversity management plan for the project prepared by CSIR-NIO.
xxi	Earth protection work shall be carried out to avoid erosion of soil from the shore line / boundary line from the land area into the marine water body.	Quay walls will be constructed in the whole area of project site.
xxii	No ships docking at the proposed project site will discharge its on-board waste water untreated into the estuary/channel. All such waste water load will be diverted to the proposed Contaminated Water Treatment Plant of the project site.	Will be ensured by the proposed Contaminated Water Treatment Plant during operation phase.







xxiii	All effluent generated in the dry dock shall be drained into the proposed on site contaminated water treatment plant (CWTP) having capacity 500 KLD and equipped to treat the effluent into dischargeable standards. The oil water separator of the CWTP shall remove any unwanted oil and grease content from the effluent. The CWTP shall be equipped to treat such effluent including the bilge water and other ship discharger to meet the general standards for discharge of effluent in marine coastal areas before disposal into the channel. Ballast water from ships shall be stored at the facility and will be used in refilling of same before release of ships back into water. Sewage shall be treated in the STP.	Will be ensured by the proposed Contaminated Water Treatment Plant and sewage treatment plant
xxiv	Through the proposed project will not use TBT containing paints yet the ships docking for repair may have existing TBT paint layer. So blasting operations (surface cleaning) shall be extremely controlled and contained within the work site ensuring all accumulated solid waste and effluent are given standard treatments. The effluent / dock flow shall be drained to the CWTP while the solid/hazardous wastes shall be contained temporarily in the site and timely disposed of through the CTSDF.	Will be ensured by the proposed Contaminated Water Treatment Plant and collection system
XXV	Workers shall be strictly enforced to wear personal protective equipments like dust mask, ear muffs or ear plugs, whenever and whenever necessary/required. Special visco-elastic gloves will be used by labour exposed to hazards from vibrations.	CSL will ensure strict compliance. PPE's like safety helmets, safety harness, safety shoes, goggles, dust mask, ear muffs or ear plugs as applicable are strictly enforced for workers during construction.
xxvi	In case of repair of any old vessels, excessive care shall be taken while handling asbestos and freon gas. Besides, fully enclosed covering should be provided for the temporary storage of asbestos material at site before disposal to CTSDF.	Will be ensured during operation phase. Storage facility shall be installed before commissioning of the dock.





xxvii	Safety training shall be given to all workers specific to their work area and every worker and employee will be engaged in fire hazard awareness training and mock drills which will be conducted regularly. All standard safety and occupational hazard measure shall be implemented and monitored by the concerned officials to prevent the occurrence of untoward incidents/accidents.	Noted and incorporated in the Environmental Management Plan for its compliance.
xxviii	The commitments made during the Public Hearing and recorded in the minutes shall be complied with letter and spirit. A hard copy of the action taken shall be submitted to the Ministry.	Action being taken to fulfill the responses/ Commitments made during public hearing
xxviii.a	Unscientific Dredging activities in Ernakulam Channel by Naval Base, Vallarpardam Terminal and Cochin Shipyard Ltd. Results, Vembanad Lake near Thevara area filled with the alluvial soil which leads to the encroachment and decline of fish diversity	Complied. Fishing is prohibited in the Ernakulam Channel area near project site and there is no technical possibility that alluvial soil accumulation at Vembanad Lake due to the dredging activities by CSL, as depth of the backwater in the shipyard area is much more than that at Thevara area. Also CSL has conducted mathematical modelling for the sediment deposition and other necessary study for dredging activity at Ernakulam Channel. As per the CWPRS study, the new dry dock project does not introduce any changes in siltation/ deposition rate/water current strength at water front facilities nearby
xxviii.b	Construction of Public toilets outside Cochin Shipyard considering the number of labours	In line with public hearing, 3 toilets for public have been constructed as part of CSR activities.
xxviii.c	Widening of Old Thevara road by CSL	Feasibility of widening of old Thevara road will be explored. However beautification programme will be implemented in the applicable area of Old Thevara Road. Beautification of MG road along CSL boundary has been completed.
xxviii.d	parking facilities for employees	A receiving area is earmarked inside the project area, so as to avoid traffic issues in the approaching public road.  Parking facility for 100 two wheelers parking and 10 Four wheelers also provided.







xxviii.e	To be ensured of Greenbelt Development & septage disposal for the proposed project.	Work order for development of green belt has been awarded to Social Forestry Department, Kerala Government. Green belt development plan has been prepared in inline with EIA/EMP report, EC letter and conditions of CTE. Social forestry has completed the block planting of 1300 saplings at 3 locations in Ernakulam district. Planting of saplings in project boundary can be started only after the completion of civil works in that area.
		Septage waste will be collected by Contractor hired by CSL. Disposal of waste in surrounding areas shall be strictly prohibited.
xxviii.f	Provision of LNG facilities to the nearby residents if LNG pipe line is provided to the Cochin Shipyard Ltd.	Complied CSL had clarified that they had not mentioned about the LNG pipeline in the Public Hearing presentation, it is regarding the building of LNG carrier. There will not be any provision of LNG pipeline in the proposed new dry dock project.
xxviii.g	Employment and more job opportunities to the fishermen community.	People from local area shall be employed as far as possible during construction phase.
xxviii.h	Primary need of employees like emergency preparedness plan in case of any accident, etc.	On-site emergency plan has been prepared by contractor for the safety of the working employees. Contractor has provided Ambulance facility and availability of duty nurse at project site. Also, Contractor has provided required number of toilets at project site.
xxviii.i	To conduct scientific study for diverting the docking water to the canals/sewers in the city to reduce the mosquito in the Corporation Area.	Dock water shall be treated in CWTP and discharge into nearby surface water after proper treatment with approved standards. It is not technically feasible to divert treated water line to the canals/sewers in the city for reduction of mosquitoes.
xxix	The project proponent shall take up and earmark adequate fund for socio-economic development and welfare measures as proposed under the CSR Programme. This shall be taken upon priority.	In line with public hearing, 3 toilets for public have been constructed as part of CSR activities.





xxx	The project proponent shall set up separate environmental management cell for effective implementation of the stipulated environmental safeguards under the supervision of a Senior Executive.	A separate Environmental Management Cell (EMC) is constituted for dealing with Environmental issues and for ensuring compliance with the environmental clearance conditions for Dry dock project.
xxxi	The funds earmarked for environment management plan shall be included in the budget and this shall not be diverted for any other purposes.	Fund for EMP is included total project cost. CSL confirms that the budget as per EIA report will not be diverted for any other purposes.
xxxii	The proponent shall abide by all the commitments and recommendations made in the EIA/EMP report so also during their presentation to the EAC.	Contractor's EHS Plan and Environment Management Plan are prepared in line with EIA/EMP report, EC letter and conditions of CTE. CSL will ensure strict compliance.
xxxiii	Company shall prepare operating manual in respect of all activities. It shall cover all safety and environment related issues and system. Measure to be taken for protection. One set of environmental manual shall be made available at the project site. Awareness shall be created at each level of the management. All the schedules and results of environmental monitoring shall be available at the project site office.	Dry dock project is extension of existing facilities. All the activities are similar to the activities in existing docks. So the SOPs for existing facilities will be extended for the new dry dock.
xxxiv	Corporate Social Responsibility:	
xxxiv.a	The company shall have a well laid down Environment Policy approved by the Board of Directors.	Complied. CSL has certified for ISO 9001:2008, ISO14001:2004 and ISO 45001:2018.
xxxiv.b	The Environment Policy shall prescribe for standard operating process / procedures to bring into focus any infringements/ deviation/ violation of the environmental or forest norms/ conditions.	Complied. CSL is an ISO 14001:2004 certified Company. CSL procedure for Environmental Damage Incident reporting was submitted to MoEFCC vide CSL letter dated 09 Aug 2017.





xxxiv.c	The hierarchical system of Administrative order of the company to deal with environmental issues and for ensuring compliance with the environmental clearance conditions shall be furnished.	The organizational arrangement in CSL for the environmental management is included as para 1.9 of CSL EMS Common procedures. Copy of CSL EMS Common procedures was submitted to MoEFCC vide CSL letter dated 09 Aug 2017. A separate Environmental Management Cell (EMC) is constituted for dealing with Environmental issues and for ensuring compliance with the environmental clearance conditions.
xxxiv.d	To have proper checks and balances, the company shall have a well laid down system of reporting of non-compliances/violations of environmental norms to the Board of Directors of the company and /or shareholders or stakeholders at large.	Management Representative reports the performance of the environmental management system to the management for review during the management review meeting. CMD/Director (operations) reviews the performance of the environmental management system once every three months to ensure continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness of the system. Copy of Environmental Management System Apex manual was submitted to MoEFCC vide CSL letter dated 09 Aug 2017.  The communication to and from external interested parties is maintained by the Occupier - Environment (protection) Act 1986.
B. GENI	ERAL CONDITIONS	
i	Appropriate measures must be taken while undertaking digging activities to avoid any likely degradation of water quality	Noted and being complied with.
ii	Full support shall be extended to the officers of this Ministry / Regional Office by the project proponent during inspection of the project for monitoring purposes by furnishing full details and action plan including action taken reports in respect of mitigation measures and other environmental protection activities.	Noted. CSL confirms full support to the offices o MoEFCC
iii	A Six-Monthly monitoring shall need to be submitted by the project proponents to the Regional Office of this Ministry at Bangalore regarding the implementation of the stipulated conditions.	Noted and being complied with.  Monitoring report for the period Oct 2020 to Ma 2021 is placed as Annexure 1

iv	Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change or any other competent authority may stipulate any additional conditions or modify the existing ones, if necessary in the interest of environment and the same shall be compiled with.	CSL confirms full support to the offices of
V	The Ministry reserves the right to revoke this clearance if any of the conditions stipulated are not complied with the satisfaction of the Ministry.	
vi	In the event of a change in project profile or change in the implementation agency, a fresh reference shall be made to the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change.	
vii	The project proponents shall inform the Regional Office as well as the Ministry, the date of financial closure and final approval of the project by the concerned authorities and the date of start of land development work.	1. CCEA had approved the project in its meeting held on 20th July 2016. 2. Construction work commenced on 01 June 2018 and the same has been informed to MoEFCC and Regional office vide letter no. INFRA/NDD/812/15 dated 22 June 2018.
viii	A copy of the clearance letter shall be marked to concerned Panchayat/ local NGO, if any, from whom any suggestion/ representation has been made received while processing the proposal.	Complied.
ix	A copy of the environmental clearance letter shall also be displayed of the website of the concerned State Pollution Control Board. The EC letter shall also be displayed at the Regional Office, District Industries centre and Collector's Office/ Tehsildar's office for 30 days.	Complied
Para.13	These stipulations would be enforced among others under the provisions of Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution ) Act 1974, the Air(Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act 1981, the Environment (Protection ) Act , 1986 , the Public Liability (Insurance) Act,1991 and EIA Notification 1994, including the amendments and rules made thereafter.	Noted





Para.14	All other statutory clearances such as the approvals of storage of diesel from Chief Controller of Explosives, Fire Department, Civil Aviation Department, Forest Conservation Act, 1980 and Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 etc. shall be obtained, as applicable by project proponents from the respective competent authorities	Complied  1. Ministry of Defence had issued Clearance for the project on 20 <sup>th</sup> Dec 2016.  2. F& B approval received for the project on 28 Oct 2016.  3. CSL is having Petroleum and Explosives Safety Organisation (PESO) license for operational yard.  4. Clearance from Chief control of explosives & Fire department taken by the contractor for the installation of diesel pump.  5. Forest Clearance not required as there is no forest land diversion as part of project.  6. Standing Committee of NBWL has recommended Dry Dock project for Wildlife clearance in its meeting held on 02 Mar 2017.
Para.15	The project proponent shall advertise in at least two local Newspapers widely circulated in the region, one of which shall be in the vernacular language informing that the project has been accorded Environmental and CRZ Clearance and copies of clearance letters are available with the State Pollution Control Board and may also be seen on the website of the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change at http://www.envfor.nic.in. The advertisement should be made within Seven days from the date of receipt of the Clearance letter and a copy of the same should be forwarded to the Regional Office of this Ministry at Bangalore.	Complied 1. Advertisement done on two leading dailies of the region namely Malayala Manorama and Mathrubhumi on 23 Nov 2016 2. Copy of the Advertisements was forwarded to the regional Office of the MoEFCC at Bangalore on 17 Dec 2016.
Time I	The Clearance is subject to final order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the matter of Goa Foundation Vs Union of India in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 460 of 2004 as may be applicable to this project.	Noted
Para.17	Status of compliance to the various stipulated environmental conditions and environmental safeguards will be uploaded by the project proponent in its website.	Complied
Para.18	Any appeal against this Clearance shall lie with the National Green Tribunal, if preferred, within a period of 30 days as prescribed under Section 16 of the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010.	Noted





	A	
Para.19	A copy of the clearance letter shall be sent by the proponent to concerned Panchayat, Zilla Parishad/ Municipal Corporation, Urban Local Body and the Local NGO, if any, from whom suggestions /representations, if any, were received while processing the proposal. The clearance letter shall also be put on the website of the company by the proponent.	Complied
Para.20	The proponent shall upload the status of compliance of the stipulated EC conditions, including results of the monitored data on their website and shall update the same periodically. It shall simultaneously be sent to the Regional Office of the MoEFCC, the respective Zonal Office of CPCB and the SPCB.	
Para.21	The environmental statement for each financial year ending 31st March in Form-V as is mandated to be submitted by the project proponent to the concerned State Pollution Control Board as prescribed under the Environmental (Protection) Rules, 1986, as amended subsequently, shall also be put on the website of the company along with the status of compliance of EC conditions and shall also be sent to the respective Regional Office of MoEFCC by e-mail.	Dry dock project is extension of existing facilities. Latest environment statement(Form-V) for Cochin Shipyard is attached (Annexure – 2)





General Manager (Infra Projects)

Cochin Simbyard Limited
ELDHO JOHN

Hहा प्रबंधक
General Manager
General Manag

#### INTRODUCTION

Cochin shipyard Limited (CSL) is a company under the Ministry of Shipping specialized in shipbuilding and ship repairing. Since its integration in 1972, CSL has come a long way to become one of the leading shipyards to build ships up to 110000 DWT and repair up to 125000 DWT. It is located in the south west coast of India, in the 'port city' Kochi in the state of Kerala. The shipyard is equipped with state-of-the-art facilities and resources and caters to building and repairing a diverse fleet of ships. CSL has secured shipbuilding contracts from international renowned companies from Europe & middle-east. CSL also presently constructing the first Indigenous Aircraft carrier for Indian Navy.

As part of its growing requirement CSL is in the process of establishing a new dry dock facility in the Northern part of the existing shipyard. The project is capable of augmenting CSL's existing capacity in ship building and repair by targeting the LNG tankers, drilling rigs/ships, large naval vessels, larger carrier ships.

The project site is located in Kochi city. It is surrounded by residential as well as commercial establishments along with road and rail networks. Nearest railway station is Ernakulam which is 1 km from the site and nearest Airport is Kochi Airport which is 25 km from project site.

CSL has appointed M/s. ITL Labs Pvt. Ltd. for carrying out Environmental monitoring during the construction stage of New Dry Dock project Vide Work Order No. INFRA/NDD/87/2018 dated 28 June 2018 for the period of Three years on monthly basis. The scope of work includes monitoring of Ambient air quality, Noise Level, Marine water quality, Sediment quality, Biological parameters, Ground water quality & Soil quality.

M/s. ITL Labs Pvt. Ltd. is a state of art laboratory well equipped with the latest instruments and recognized by the Ministry of Environment, Forests & Climate change (MoEFCC), Govt. of India. We are also accredited by NABL, BIS and GLP.

We have deputed our team with necessary equipment at the site of CSL for sample collection and data generation work. This is a half yearly report from October 2020 to March 2021. The report was based on the sampling done from October 2020 to March 2021.



#### AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MONITORING

Ambient air quality was monitored weekly twice during non-monsoon seasons and monthly in monsoon season during the period October 2020 to March 2021. Sampling was carried out at four locations out of which three are at construction sites and one station at a nearby residential area. The locations are:

- 1) Near main gate
- 2) Near DG Set
- 3) Near excavation area
- 4) Neighboring residential area (Across the boundary wall)

The samples were collected and analyzed as per guidelines of Ambient Air quality monitoring CPCB, 2003. The Respirable dust sampler and fine particle samples equipment was placed at open space to collect the samples for the analysis of parameters such as  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_2$  & CO.

The comprehensive monitoring results have been compiled as follows:



5	4	အ	2	<b>1</b>		SI.	
Carbon Monoxide (CO), mg/m³	Nitrogen Dioxide (NO <sub>2</sub> ) , µg/m³	Sulphur Dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> ), µg/m³	Particulate Matter (PM <sub>2.5),</sub> µg/m³	Particulate Matter (PM <sub>10</sub> ), µg/m³	Parameters	Location	•
1	r	Ą	r	,	No. Of Max Samples value	Ne	
1	ı	,	1	1	Max value	Near Main gate	Corr
1	ī	1	ı	1	Min Avg. value Value	n gate	npilatio
1	t	j.	ı	1	Avg. Value		n of An
4	4	4	4	4	No. Of Max Samples valu e		nbient A
1.02	13.5	11.3	33.4	56.3	Max valu e	Near	ir Qual
0.75	11.8	9.4	27.4	52.6	Min value	Near DG Set	ity Mor
0.89	12.7	10.4	30.4	54.5	Avg. Value		nitoring
1	1	ı	1	r	No. Of Max Samples value	Nea	Compilation of Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Results - October 2020
ī	1	r	1	1	Max value	ır Exca	- Octo
T	1	r.	1	ŗ	Min value	Near Excavation area	ber 202
1	,	1	1	1.	Avg. Value	area	20
4	4	4	4	4	Min Avg. No. Of Max value Value Samples value	Near	
0.74	8.4	6.8	24.5	37.4		Resid	
0.56	7.3	5.4	21.6	35.3	Min Avg. value Value	Near Residential area	
0.65	7.9	6.1	23.1	36.4	Avg. Value	rea	
2.0	80	80	60	100	Limit	NAAQ	

5	4	ω	2	_		SI.	
Carbon Monoxide (CO), mg/m <sup>3</sup>	Nitrogen Dioxide (NO <sub>2</sub> ) , µg/m³	Sulphur Dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> ), µg/m³	Particulate Matter (PM <sub>2.5),</sub> µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Particulate Matter (PM <sub>10</sub> ),µg/m³	Parameters	Location	
8	8	8	8	8	No. Of Max Samples value	Z	
1.1	10.5	9.1	30.2	56.7	-	lear Ma	C
0.7	8.4	7.6	26.8	52.6	Min value	Near Main gate	ompila
0.9	9.5	8.4	28.5	54.7	Avg. Value		tion of
œ	œ	œ	œ	<b>∞</b>	No. Of Max Samples value		Ambien
1.2	10.2	8.7	30.6	58.2	Max value	Near	t Air Q
0.8	8.4	6.9	24.3	42.5	Min value	Near DG Set	uality N
1.0	9.3	7.8	27.5	50.4	Avg. Value		1 Onitori
7	7	7	7	7	No. Of Max Samples value	Near	Compilation of Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Results - Nov 2020
1.0	9.9	8.7	28.9	56.8	Max value		ılts - No
0.7	8.9	7.5	25.6	52.2	Min	Excavation area	ov 2020
0.9	9.4	8.1	27.3	54.5	Avg. Value	ırea	
00	00	8	8	œ	No. Of Max Samples value	Nea	
=	10.1	8 5	28.6	52.4	Max value	r Resid	
0.8	7.2	5.6	22.8	40.5	Min value	Near Residential area	
1.0	8.7	7.1	25.7	46.5	Avg. Value	rea	
2.0	80	80	60	100	Limit	NAAQ	

			19				
5	4	ဒ	2	_		SI.	
Carbon Monoxide (CO), mg/m <sup>3</sup>	Nitrogen Dioxide (NO <sub>2</sub> ) , µg/m³	Sulphur Dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> ), µg/m³	Particulate Matter (PM <sub>2.5),</sub> µg/m³	Particulate Matter (PM <sub>10</sub> ), µg/m³	Parameters	Location	
10	10	10	10	10	No. of Max Samples value	7	
<u>.</u>	12.5	12.4	30.2	57.3		lear Ma	
0.75	8.7	7.4	25.6	53.5	Min value	Near Main gate	Compil
0.9	10.6	9.9	27.9	55.4	Avg. Value		ation of
10	10	10	10	10	No. of Max Samples value		Compilation of Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Results - Dec 2020
1.03	12.3	12.6	32.5	65.2	Max value	Near DG Set	nt Air Q
0.76	9.3	9.6	23.4	46.2	Min value	G Set	uality N
0.9	10.8	11.1	28.0	55.7	Avg. Value		lonitori
10	10	10	10	10	Avg. No. of Value Samples	Nea	ng Resu
1.02	11.5	12.5	32.8	58.6	Max value	Near Excavation area	ılts - De
0.65	8.6	9.2	26.5	52.2	Min value	ation are	c 2020
0.84	10.1	10.9	29.7	55.4	Avg. Value	ea l	
10	10	10	10	10	No. Of Max Samples value	Nea	9
0.91	10.2	8.7	27.3	49.7	Max value	Near Residential area	
0.68	8.6	6.2	21.6	38.7	Min value	ential a	
0.8	9.4	7.5	24.5	44.2	Avg. Value	ırea	
2.0	80	80	60	100	Limit	NAAQ	

_								
	51	4	3	2			SI.	
	Carbon Monoxide (CO),	Nitrogen Dioxide (NO <sub>2</sub> ) , µg/m³	Sulphur Dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> ), µg/m³	Particulate Matter (PM <sub>2.5),</sub> µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Particulate Matter (PM <sub>10</sub> ),µg/m³	Parameters	Location	
	œ	8	œ	œ	8	No. of Max Samples value	7	
	0.92	10.5	9.6	32.2	56.6		lear Ma	O
	0.72	8.9	7.6	26.7	53.2	Min value	Near Main gate	ompilat
	0.82	9.7	8.6	29.5	54.9	Avg. Value		tion of
	00	œ	∞	8	8	No. of Max Samples value		Ambier
	1.03	10.4	9.3	31.2	55.8	Max value	Near I	ıt Air Qı
	0.75	8.8	7.6	26.2	52.5	Min value	Near DG Set	uality №
	0.9	9.6	8.5	28.7	54.2	Avg. Value		onitori
	7	7	7	7	7	No. of Max Samples value	Nea	Compilation of Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Results
	1.02	10.3	8.7	30.4	55.4	Max s value	Near Excavation area	ılts - Ja
	0.75	9.4	7.8	26.5	50.8	Min	ation a	- Jan 2021
Contract of the Contract of th	0.9	9.9	8.3	28.5	53.1	Avg. Value	ırea	
	8	8	œ	00	8	No. of Max Samples value	Nea	
1	0.85	10.2	8.1	22.5	42.8		Near Residential area	
No. of the last	0.62	8.5	6.4	18.5	38.4	Min	ential a	
	0.74	9.4	7.3	20.5	40.6	Avg. Value	rea	
	2.0	80	80	60	100	Limit	NAAQ	



Sī.	4	ಎ	2	٦		No SI.	
Carbon Monoxide (CO), mg/m	Nitrogen Dioxide (NO <sub>2</sub> ) , µg/m³	Sulphur Dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> ), µg/m³	Particulate Matter (PM <sub>2.5),</sub> µg/m³	Particulate Matter (PM <sub>10</sub> ),µg/m	Parameters	Location	
8	8	8	8	œ	No. Of Max Samples value	_	
1.03	12.3	9.0	28.5	51.4	Max value	lear Ma	င၀
0.6	8.8	6.8	17.6	47.3	Min value	Near Main gate	mpilation
0.82	10.6	7.9	23.1	49.4	Avg. Value		on of A
00	œ	00	∞	8	No. Of Max Samples value		mbient
0.90	12.6	8.9	32.2	64.5	Max value	Near I	Air Qua
0.62	12.2	7.8	28.6	63.5	Min value	Near DG Set	ality Mo
0.76	12.4	8.4	30.4	64.0	Avg. Value		nitorin
8	8	8	8	8	No. Of Max Samples value	Near	Compilation of Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Results
1.03	12.6	11.3	32.5	56.4	Max value		ts - Mai
0.65	10.4	8.7	28.6	52.6	Min value	Excavation area	- March 2021
0.84	11.5	10.0	30.6	54.5	Avg. Value	rea	7
∞	œ	8	8	8	No. Of Max Samples value	Nea	
1.01	12.6	8.2	19.7	41.5		r Resid	
0.60	10.4	6.8	15.4	33.2	Min value	- 01	
0.81	11.5	7.5	17.6	37.4	Avg. Value	rea	
2.0	80	80	60	100	LMI	NAAQ	

All the above parameters are within the specified limit of National Ambient Air Quality (NAAQ) as per Environment protection act 1986.



#### AMBIENT NOISE QUALITY

As per contract, noise monitoring to be carried out at four locations viz. Near DG set, Near construction activity, Near residential area and Near dredging area during the period October 2020 to March 2021.

The noise was recorded by an automatic noise meter. From the Results leq (day) & Leq (night) calculated. Average results were calculated on monthly basis and are compiled as

Sr. No	LOCATION	SAMPLING PERIOD		D	ay Tim	е			Nig	ght Tim	е	
			No. of samples	Leq min	Leq	Leq. mean	Limit.	No. of samples	Leq min	Leq	Leq. mean	Limit
		Oct' 2020	2	69.9	77.6	73.8	75	2	68.2	70.5	69.4	70
		Nov' 2020	4	72.2	76.1	74.2	75	4	66.6	71.4	69.0	70
		Dec' 2020	5	71.2	76.7	73.9	75	5	67.5	71.2	69.4	70
1	Near DG set	Jan' 2021	4	68.4	72.8	70.6	75	4	65.2	67.6	66.4	70
	Set	Feb' 2021	2	54.6	66.2	60.4	75	2	52.6	58.7	55.7	70
		Mar'2021	4	69.2	76.8	73.0	75	4	68.4	69.2	68.8	70
		Average	21	67.6	74.4	71.0	75	21	64.8	68.1	66.5	70
		Oct 2020	2	52.1	64.3	58.2	75	2	48.8	55.1	52.0	70
		Nov' 2020	3	61.5	67.4	64.5	75	3	56.6	62.8	59.7	70
	Near	Dec' 2020	5	61.0	73.2	67.1	75	5	54.4	63.6	59.0	70
2	Constructi	Jan' 2021	4	51.4	71.5	61.5	75	4	51.2	63.6	57.4	70
	on area	Feb' 2021	2	55.0	72.4	63.7	75	2	56.4	64.5	60.5	70
		Mar'2021	4	60.2	73.4	66.8	75	4	50.4	64.8	57.6	70
		Average	20	56.9	70.4	63.6	75	20	53.0	62.4	57.7	70
		Oct' 2020	2	51.8	56.8	54.3	55	2	41.4	46.6	44.7	45
		Nov' 2020	4	52.4	56.4	54.4	55	4	42.6	46.1	44.4	45
	Near	Dec' 2020	5	52.3	56.2	54.3	55	5	42.5	44.5	43.5	45
3	Residential	Jan' 2021	4	46.4	56.7	51.6	55	4	42.6	46.5	44.6	45
	area	Feb' 2021	2	44.2	56.6	50.4	55	2	39.7	45.4	42.6	45
		Mar'2021	4	52.4	56.5	54.5	55	4	41.6	46.6	44.1	45
		Average	21	50.3	57.4	53.9	55	21	41.1	45.6	43.4	45
		Oct' 2020	2	61.7	71.5	66.6	75	2	52.7	54.6	53.7	70
		Nov' 2020	4	53.6	64.9	59.3	75	4	62.5	52.4	57.5	70
4	4	Dec' 2020	5	50.5	56.2	53.4	75	5	51.2	53.4	52.3	70
7	Near Dredging	Jan' 2021	4	51.2	67.4	59.3	75	4	45.2	54.4	49.8	70
	area	Feb' 2021	2	53.7	64.7	59.2	75	2	53.2	61.8	57.5	70
		Mar'2021	4	49.3	63.4	56.4	75	4	47.6	53.5	50.6	70
		Average	21	53.5	64.6	59.0	75	21	52.0	55.0	53.6	70

AMBIENT NOISE STANDARDS AS PER THE NOISE POLLUTION (REGULATION AND CONTROL) RULES, 2000

Area	Category of Area	Limits in dB(A) Leq					
		Day Time	Night Time				
A	Industrial	75	70				
В	Commercial	65	55				
c	Residential	55	45				
D	Silence Zone	50	40				

The noise levels of all locations are found within the permissible limit.



#### **GROUND WATER QUALITY**

Water samples were collected each month from the existing borewell at the new dry dock site and the samples collected are tested as per IS 10500: 2012.

S.No.	Parameters	RESULT (Oct'20)	RESULT (Nov'20)	RESULT (Dec'20)	RESULT (Jan'21)	RESULT (Feb'21)	RESULT (Mar'21)	LIMIT AS PER IS 10500: 2012
1	Colour, Hazen units	-	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	5.0
2	Odour	-	Agreeable	Agreeable	Agreeable	Agreeable	Agreeable	Agreeable
3	pH Value	-	7.1	7.46	7.4	7.36	7.52	6.5-8.5
4	Turbidity, NTU	-	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1.0
5	Total Dissolved solids, mg/l	-	354	348	275	336	354	500
6	Calcium (as Ca), mg/l	×-	37.8	36.4	43.2	38.65	38.2	75
7	Magnesium (as Mg), mg/l	-	21.04	12.60	12.9	12.60	12.60	30
8	Chloride (as Cl), mg/l	-	63.5	60.60	34.6	58.44	62.40	250
9	Iron (as Fe), mg/l	-	BDL	BDL	0.05	BDL	BDL	0.3
10	Sulphate (as SO4), mg/l	-	34.5	32.4	22.8	36.3	30.5	200
11	Total Hardness (as CaCO <sub>3</sub> ), mg/l		168.5	166.6	126.4	168.36	168.4	200
12	Total Alkalinity (as CaCO₃), mg/l	-	154.6	156.8	56.4	162.40	158.5	200
13	Escherichia coli/100ml	•	Present	Present	Present	Present	Present	Should be absent



#### **MARINE WATER QUALITY**

Samples were collected on monthly basis from marine area of construction site and monitoring results are recorded as follows:

S.No.	Parameters	Unit	RESULT Oct'20	RESULT Nov'20	RESULT Dec'20	RESULT Jan'21	RESULT Feb'21	RESULT Mar'21	Detection limit
1	Temperature	°C	-	21.5	20.2	27.2	21.16	23.5	
2	Salinity	psu	-	2.56	2.54	3.1	2.65	2.61	0.1
3	pH Value		-	7.31	7.35	7.8	7.45	7.40	
4	Conductivity	mS/cm	-	3.54	3.60	3.97	3.34	3.54	0.1
5	Turbidity, NTU	NTU	-	2.5	2.65	3.4	2.76	2.70	1.0
6	Total Dissolved solids,	mg/l	-	2342	2356	2923	2215	2362	1.0
7	Dissolved Oxygen	mg/l	-	5.5	5.0	6.5	5.0	5.0	0.5
8	Nitrate nitrogen	µmol/l	-	19.5	14.2	7.7	15.3	15.8	0.1
9	Nitrite Nitrogen	µmol/l	-	0.6	0.8	0.5	0.30	0.20	0.05
10	Anionic detergents (as MBAS)	μg/l	-	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.1
11	Suspended Solid	mg/l	-	27	21.0	12	26.0	26.0	0.1
12	BOD	Mg/I	-	8.0	10.0	4.0	8.0	10.0	1.0
13	Silicate	mg/l	-	9.15	8.0	7.5	8.5	6.5	0.01
14	phosphate	mg/l	-	2.6	3.0	0.4	4.0	2.5	0.5
15	Total hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/l	-	868	860	1010	856.56	858	0.5
16	Calcium hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/l	-	458	462	171	465.4	462	0.2
17	Oil & Grease	mg/l	-	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.1
18	Total Chromium (as Cr), mg/l	mg/l	-	BDL	BDL	0.2	BDL	BDL	0.003
19	Copper (as Cu), mg/l	mg/l	-	0.038	0.042	0.3	0.038	0.035	0.003
20	Manganese (as Mn), mg/l	mg/l	-,	0.041	0.021	0.2	0.025	0.015	0.003
21	Zinc (as Zn), mg/l	mg/l	<b>=</b> 0	0.30	0.23	0.3	0.20	0.25	0.025
22	Iron (as Fe), mg/l	mg/l	-	0.28	0.16	5.0	0.25	0.15	0.05
23	Cadmium (as Cd), mg/l	mg/l	-	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.002
24	Nickel (as Ni)	mg/l	-	BDL	BDL	0.5	BDL	BDL	0.002
25	Cobalt(as Co)	mg/l	-	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.002
26	Lead ( as Pb)	mg/l	-	BDL	BDL	0.3	BDL	BDL	0.002
27	Total Coliform/100ml	MPN/100 M L	-	14800	13600	78020	12800	1350 0	1
28	Faecal Coliform/100ml	MPN/100 M L	•	2210	2100	4700	1800	2080	1



## SEDIMENT QUALITY

The sediment samples are collected once every month by grab sampler.

SI.No	Parameter	Units	RESULT Oct'20	RESULT Nov'20	RESULT Dec'20	RESULT Jan'21	RESULT Feb'21	RESULT Mar'21
1.	Texture							
	Gravel	%	-	1.8	1.7	1.77	1.64	1.5
	Sand	%	-	31.0	32.1	31.8	31.45	30.6
	Silt	%	-	24.0	23.6	20.6	22.42	22.5
	Clay	%	-	43.2	44.3	44.5	41.60	43.4
2	Nitrate Nitrogen	µmol/ kg	-	7.2	6.8	4.3	7.16	7.6
3	Nitrite Nitrogen	µmol/ kg	-	0.19	0.21	0.10	0.15	0.15
4	Phosphate	µmol/ kg	-	2.8	3.2	0.85	4.5	5.4
5	Lead	mg/kg	-	8.7	7.5	8.5	6.0	6.5
6	Zinc	mg/kg	~	26.4	25.6	18.7	21.31	23.5
7	Iron	mg/kg	-	268 7	2645	7800	2332	2565
8	Copper	mg/kg	-	14.5	13.6	8.5	8.4	12.5
9	Total organic carbon	%	-	1.0	1.1	0.5	0.90	1.2



#### SOIL QUALITY

Soil samples were collected on a monthly basis from the project site at 60 cm depth and it was analyzed as per IS:2720. The details of results as follows:

SI.No	Parameter	Units	Nov'20	Feb'21
1.	Texture	-	Sandy clay	Sandy clay
2	РΗ	-	7.23	7.28
3	Particle Size	-	Less than 2.0 mm	Less than 2.0 mm
4	Nitrogen	mg/100 gm	1.38	1.35
5	Potassium	mg/Kg	158	162
6	Moisture	%	18.7	20.3
7	Sulphates	mg/Kg	85.6	86.5
8	Organic Carbon	%	0.79	0.90
9	Chlorides (as Cl)	mg/Kg	185.6	184.4
10	Conductivity (20 % slurry)	μs/cm	35.8	38.6
11	Sodium( as Na)	mg/Kg	146	131
12	Sodium absorption Ratio(SAR)	-	0.91	0.85
13	Calcium (as Ca)	mg/Kg	1692	1778
14	Magnesium(as Mg)	mg/Kg	48.5	62.4



BIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS OF MARINE WATER
Samples were collected on monthly basis from marine area of construction site and monitoring results are recorded as follows:

PHYTOPLANKTON ANALYSIS

SI. No	Name of species	Quantity Present Oct'20	Quantity Present Nov'20	Quantity Present Dec'20	Quantity Present Jan'21	Quantity Present Feb'21	Quantity Present Mar'21
1.	Cyanophyceae	·					
	a) Oscillatoria species	_	8	6	5	8	8
	b)Spirulina species	-	0	0	0	0	0
	c) Nostoc species	-	0	. 0	0	0	O
2.	BACILLARIOPHYCE	Œ.					
	a)Asterionellopsis glacialis	-	0	0	0	Q	0
	b)Cerataulina species	-	0	0	0	0	Ó
	c) Chaetoceros species	-	0	0	0	0	O
	d)Coscinodisc us species	-	941	936	921	942	941
	e)Cyclotell species	-	22	20	25	23	18
	f)Ditylum brightwelli	-	15	13	12	14	15
	g)Lauderia species	-	Ô	0	0	0	0
	h)Leptocylindrus species	-	12	14	8	12	16
	i)Navicula species	-	0	0	0	0	0
	j)Nitzschia	-	98	92	95	88	88
	k)Odontella	1.	37	45	33	48	42
	I)Pseudo –Nitzschia	-	13	15	13	18	18
	m)Pleurosigm a species	-	55	58	64	56	60
	n)Rhizosolenia	-	5	7	2	8	8
	o)Surirella species	-	0	0	0	0	Ö
3	DINOPHYCEAE			2			
	a)Alexandrium	-	0	0	0	0	0
Ī	b)Prorocentrum	-	9	12	8	14	14
	c) Pyrophacus	-	11	8	13	10	9
	d)Pyrocystis	-	5	5	2	6	6
	e) Ceratium	-	3	2	2	3	3
	f)Protoperidinium	-	0	0	0	0	0
4	CHLOROPHYCEAE		-				
	a)Pediastrum	-	2	1	2	1	2
5	DICTYOCHOPHYCEA	.E	-				
	a)Dictyocha	-	5	7	3	9	9
	TOTAL:	-	1241	1241	1208	1260	1257



#### PHYTOPLANKTON ANALYSIS

SI. No	Name of species	Percentage (%) Oct'20	Percentage (%) Nov'20	Percentage (%) Dec'20	Percentage (%) Jan'21	Percentage (%) Feb'21	Percentage (%) Mar'21
1.	Cyanophyceae	, ,			7 10		
	a) Oscillatoria	-	0.64	0.48	0.4	0.63	0.64
	species b)Spirulina species		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	c) Nostoc species		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2.	BACILLARIOPHYCE	EAE					
	a)Asterionellopsis glacialis	· <del>-</del>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	b)Cerataulina species	- · · ·	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	c) Chaetoceros species		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	d)Coscinodiscus species	-	75.83	75.42	76.21	74.77	74.86
	e)Cyclotell species	-	1.77	1.61	2.06	1.84	1.43
	f)Ditylum brightwelli	-	1.21	1.05	1.0	1.11	1.19
	g)Lauderia species		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	h)Leptocylindrus species	-	0.97	1.13	0.7	0.95	1.27
	i)Navicula species	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	j)Nitzschia	-	7.90	7.41	7.9	6.98	7.00
	k)Odontella	-	2.98	3.63	2.73	3.81	3.34
	I)Pseudo – Nitzschia	-	1.05	1.21	1.06	1.43	1.43
	m)Pleurosigma species	-	4.43	4.67	5.3	4.44	4.77
	n)Rhizosolenia	=	0.4	0.56	0.17	0.63	0.64
	o)Surirella species	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	DINOPHYCEAE						
	a)Alexandrium	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	b)Prorocentrum	-	0.73	0.97	0.7	1.11	1.12
	c) Pyrophacus	-	0.89	0.65	1.06	0.79	0.72
	d)Pyrocystis	-	0.40	0.40	0.17	0.48	0.48
	e) Ceratium	0	0.24	0.16	0.17	0.24	0.23
	f)Protoperidinium	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	CHLOROPHYCEAE						
	a)Pediastrum	_	0.16	0.08	0.17	0.08	0.16
5	DICTYOCHOPHYC	EAE					
	a)Dictyocha	-	0.40	0.56	0.2	0.71	0.72
	TOTAL:	-	100	100	100	100	100



### ZOOPLANKTON ANALYSIS

S. No	Name of Species	Abundance Oct'20	Abundance Nov'20	Abundance Dec'20	Abundance Jan'21	Abundance Feb'21	Abundance March'21
1	Calanoid copepod	-	108	112	95	110	118
2	Cycloid copepod	-	57	60	56	62	66
3	Cirripede Nauplii	-	7	6	1	8	9
4	Fish Egg	-	34	38	17	42	35
5	Fish Larva	u.	15	12	9	10	12
6	Shrimp zoea	-	37	35	32	38	35
7	Gastropod veliger		0	0	0	0	0
8	Crab zoea	-	0	0	0	0	0
9	Lucifer Sp.	-	0	0	0	0	0
10	Codonellopsis sp.	-	0	0	0	0	0
11	Amphipod	-	0	0	0	0	0
12	Penilia avirostris	-	28	26	16	28	32
13	Crustacean Nauplii	-	32	40	11	42	43
14	Copepod Nauplii	-	17	12	7	14	15
15.	Planktonic polychaete	-	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL:	-	335	341	244	354	365



#### **ZOOPLANKTON ANALYSIS**

S.N	Name Of Species	Percentage Of Total (%) Oct'20	Percentage Of Total (%) Nov'20	Percentage Of Total (%) Dec'20	Percentage Of Total (%) Jan'21	Percentage Of Total (%) Feb'21	Percentage Of Total (%) March'21
1	Calanoid copepod	-	32.24	32.85	38.93	31.07	32.33
2	Cycloid copepod	-	17.02	17.59	22.95	17.51	18.08
3	Cirripede Nauplii	-	2.08	1.76	0.4	2.26	2.47
4	Fish Egg	-	10.15	11.14	6.96	11.86	9.59
5	Fish Larva	-	4.48	3.52	3.68	. 2.82	3.29
6	Shrimp zoea	-	11.04	10.26	13.11	10.74	9.59
7	Gastropod veliger	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
8	Crab zoea	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
9	Lucifer Sp.	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
10	Codonellop		0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
10	sis sp.	-					
11	Amphipod	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
12	Penilia avirostris	-	8.36	7.63	6.6	7.91	8.76
13	Crustacean Nauplii	-	9.55	11.73	4.5	11.86	11.78
14	Copepod Nauplii		5.07	3.52	2.9	3.97	4.11
15.	Planktonic polychaete	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
тот	AL:	-	100	100	100	100	100



#### **BENTHOS ANALYSIS**

S.No	Name Of	Abundance Oct'20	Abundance Nov'20	Abundance Dec'20	Abundance Jan'21	Abundance Feb'21	Abundance March'21
	Species					<u> </u>	
A)	MeioBenthos	3					
1	Nematodes	-	65	61	60	58	64
2	Polychaetes	-	7	9	6	13	12
3	Ostracods	-	10	12	5	16	15
TOTA	L	-	82	82	71	87	91
B)	Micro Benth	ios					
1	Polychaetes		423	426	425	422	426
2	Crustaceans	7	405	401	390	398	401
3	Molluscs	-	32	36	37	34	36
4	Others	-	198	191	199	188	191
•	TOTAL	-	1058	1054	1051	1042	1054

S.No	Name of Species	Percentage of Total (%) Oct'20	Percentage of Total (%) Nov'20	Percentage of Total (%) Dec'20	Percentage of Total (%) Jan'21	Percentage of Total (%) Feb'21	Percentage of Total (%) Mar'21
A)	MeioBenthos						
1	Nematodes	-	79.27	74.39	84.51	66.67	70.33
2	Polychaetes	-	8.53	10.98	8.45	14.94	13.19
3	Ostracods	-	12.20	14.63	7.04	18.39	16.48
	TOTAL	-	100	100	100	100	100
Micro	Benthos		-	12.			
1	Polychaetes	-	39.98	40.42	40.44	40.50	40.42
2	Crustaceans	-	38.28	38.05	37.11	38.20	38.05
3	Molluscs	-	3.03	3.41	3.52	3.26	3.41
4	Others	-	18.71	18.12	18.93	18.04	18.12
	TOTAL	-	100	100	100	100	100

#### **SUMMARY**

This report is a summarization of reports from Oct'2020 to March'2021 in which monsoon was included.

All the tested parameters of ambient air are within the specified limit of NAAQS. The noise level in all places is also within the specified limit. All the other samples are normal and not found any major pollutants.





## COCHIN SHIPYARD LIMITED (A Government of India Category-1 Miniratna Company, Ministry of Shipping)

U&M/D&Q/867/02

15-06-2020

To,

The Member Secretary, Kerala State Pollution Control Board, Pattom P O, Thiruvananthapuram – 695 004.

Sub. :- SUBMISSION OF ANNUAL ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT (FORM –V)

Ref.:- Environmental Protection Rules 1986.

With reference to the above the Annual Environmental Statement for the year ending with 31<sup>st</sup> March 2020 is submitted herewith for perusal and records.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

For Cochin Shipyard Limited.

General Manager (Materials) & Occupier (Environment-Protection)

Encl: as above.

Copy to;

The Chief Environmental Engineer, Kerala State Pollution Control Board, Regional Office, Gandhi Nagar, Kochi – 682 020. हरिकृष्णन एस/HARIKRISHNAN S रखलकार-पर्यावरण(संरक्षण) अधिनियम 1986 Occupier-Environment(Protection) Act 1986 कोचीन शिपयांड लिमिटेड Cochin Shipyard Ltd. कोच्यी/Kochi- 15



#### **ANNEXURE** ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT FORM – V (See Rule 14)

Environmental Statement for financial year ending with 31st March 2020

#### PART A

i. Name and address of the Owner / Occupier of the industry

: Sri. Harikrishnan S, GM (Materials) & Occupier (Environment-Protection)

Operation or process

: Deals with Ship Building and Ship Repair.

Surface preparation, Cutting, welding of Applying marine plates. painting. Maintenance of machinery, buildings and electrical installations in the yard.

Repair of marine vessels etc.

ii. Industry Categorty Primary – (STC Code) Secondary – (STC Code)

: Ship Building and Ship Repair.

iii. Production Category - Units

: Ships.

iv. Year of Establishment.

: April 1972.

v. Date of the last environmental statement

: 20.06.2019

Submitted

#### PART - B

Water and Raw Material Consumption

i. Water consumption in  $m^3/d$ 

Process

 $161 \, \text{m}^3 / \, \text{d}$ 

Cooling

Not measurable.

Domestic

 $1490 \,\mathrm{m}^3 / \mathrm{d}$ 

	Total Process water consumption			
Name of Products	During the previous financial year	During the current financial year		
Ship building.  1. Indigenous Air Craft Carrier 2.Technology Demonstration Vessel TDV SH020 3. 500 PAX Vessels SH021-022 4. 1200 PAX Vessels SH023-024 5. Mini Bulk Carriers SH 25-27 6. Ro Pax Vessels BY98-105 7. Ro Ro Vessels BY 106-107 8. Fishing Vessels FV 05-16 9. Marine Ambulance Boat BY 110- 112 10. Brows and Pontoon BY 108-109 11. FBOP BY 114-116 AND Ship Repair. 42 vessels.	45990 m <sup>3</sup> (Approximately for Ship Building & Ship Repair)	58765m3		

Name of		Consumption	of Raw Material.
Raw Material*	Name of Products	During the previous financial year	During the current financial year
	Ship building.	Ship building.	Ship building.
Steel (Plates and Pipes)	<ol> <li>Indigenous Air Craft Carrier</li> <li>Technology Demonstration Vessel</li> <li>TDV SH020</li> <li>500 PAX Vessels SH021-022</li> <li>1200 PAX Vessels SH023-024</li> <li>Mini Bulk Carriers SH 25-27</li> <li>Ro Pax Vessels BY98-105</li> <li>Ro Ro Vessels BY 106-107</li> <li>Fishing Vessels FV 05-16</li> </ol>	7594.12 Ton	9553.63 Ton
	9. Marine Ambulance Boat BY 110- 112	Ship Repair.	Ship Repair.
	10. Brows and Pontoon BY 108-109 11. FBOP BY 114-116 AND Ship Repair. 42 vessels.	Steel – 586.3 Ton	Steel – 524 Ton

<sup>\*</sup> Industry may use codes if disclosing details of raw material would violate contractual obligations; otherwise all industries have to name the raw material used.

#### PART - C

## Pollution discharged to environment / unit of output

(Parameters as mentioned in the consent issued)

(1 ar ameter	s as mentioned in the co	nseni issueu)		
	Quantity of pollutants	Concentration	on of	Percentage of variation
Pollutants	discharged	Pollutants disc	charged	From prescribed
	(mass/day)			standards with reasons.
		PH : 6.7	71	
		S. S. : 32	mg/l	
		BOD : 24	mg/l	
		COD : 10	5.71 mg/l	es distribution
		Oil &Grease: 5 n		
(a) Water				
(a) Water	Total quantity			N/A
	discharged is 75 KL	PH : 6.7	19	
		S. S. : 38	mg/l	
		BOD : 27	mg/l	
		COD : 12	0.08  mg/l	
		Oil & Grease: 7 n		
(b) Air	Particulate Matter:	22.06%		77.94 % less than the
(U)All	8.5 Kg /day (Appx)	22.00%		standard limit.

#### PART-D

#### HAZARDOUS WASTE:

(As specified under Hazardous Waste (Management & Handling) Rules, 1989)

	Total Quantity in (KL,L,Tonnes,Kg, Items)			
Hazardous Wastes	During the previous financial year	During the current financial year		
(a) From Process	A STATE AND			
1) Sludge	Nil	Nil		
2) Used oil & Waste oil	1035.64 M3	399.42 Tonnes.		
3) Waste containing oil	7 Tonnes.	2.82 Tonnes.		
4) Used copper slag	4266 Tonnes.	2358 Tonnes.		
5) Battery Waste	509 Nos.	62 Nos.		
6) E Waste	3308 Nos.	4352 Nos.		
(b) From pollution control facilities				
1) Paint Sludge.	12.3 M3	15.66 M3		

#### **SOLID WASTE:**

Solid Wastes	Total Quantity (Kg)	
	During the previous	During the current
	financial year	financial year
(a) From Process	5686.28 MT	7057.28 MT
(b) Food Waste	530kg/day	450kg/day
(c) From pollution control facilities	•••••	•••••
(d) Quantity recycled or reutilized within the unit	530kg/day	450kg/day

#### PART-F

Please specify the characteristics (in terms of concentration and quantum) of hazardous as well as solid wastes and indicate disposal practice adopted for both these categories of waste.

The hazardous wastes generated during the ship building and ship repair processes are as follows.

- a) Sludge Disposal through TSDF
- b) Used / Waste oil Recycling through authorized agencies.
- c) Waste residues containing oil (Oil Soaked cotton waste) Stored in concrete room.
- d) Sludge from bath containing organic solvents (12.4). (Used copper slag) Disposal through TSDF
- e) Paint Sludge. Disposal through TSDF
- f) Battery waste. Disposal through MSTC
- g) E-waste Disposal through MSTC

#### The solid wastes are;

Wood, packing, tarpaulins, clothes, glows, helmets, shoes, cables, sweeping waste, garden waste, building construction waste, dock cleaning waste, iron dust, barrels, skid waste, weld slag etc.

Running annual contract for removal of these solid wastes.

Canteen waste: Disposing through piggeries.

#### PART-G

Impact of pollution control measures taken on conservation of natural resources and consequently on the cost of production.

Introduction of sullage treatment plants for workers canteen & employee's canteen helps to improve the quality of effluent discharged to backwaters.

Installation of Bio-gas plant for disposal and treatment of effluent from employee's canteen & workers canteen helps to recycle the waste.

Disposal of hazardous waste through TSDF/authorized recyclers helps to reduce the land/water pollution due to above.

#### PART-H

Additional measures / investment proposal for environmental protection including abatement of pollution.

- 1) Common storage space for hazardous wastes at Ship-repair completed.
- 2) Provided STP at workers canteen & employees canteen.
- 3) Action taken for Installation of 450 KWp Solar Panel at roof top of Bonded Stores.
- 4) Running annual contract for monitoring of the stack emission.
- 5) Running annual contract for monitoring of ambient air quality and noise.
- 6) Running annual contract for monitoring effluent from sullage treatment plants.

#### PART-I

Any other particulars in respect of environmental protection and abatement of pollution.

1. Consumption of paper has been reduced considerably with implementation of File Lifecycle Management (FLM) system for inter departmental communication.